arXiv:1406.6628v1 [math.DS] 25 Jun 2014

STRONG ERGODICITY, PROPERTY (T), AND ORBIT EQUIVALENCE RIGIDITY FOR TRANSLATION ACTIONS

ADRIAN IOANA

Abstract. We study equivalence relations that arise from translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ which are associated to dense embeddings $\Gamma < G$ of countable groups into second countable locally compact groups. Assuming that G is simply connected and the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic, we prove that $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is orbit equivalent to another such translation action $\Lambda \curvearrowright H$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$. If G is moreover a real algebraic group, then we establish analogous rigidity results for the translation actions of Γ on homogeneous spaces of the form G/Σ, where $\Sigma < G$ is either a discrete or an algebraic subgroup. We also prove that if G is simply connected and the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ has property (T), then any cocycle $w : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ with values into a countable group Λ is cohomologous to a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$. As a consequence, we deduce that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is orbit equivalent superrigid: any free nonsingular action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ which is orbit equivalent to $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$, is necessarily conjugate to an induction of $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$.

1. Introduction and statement of main results

In the last 15 years there have been many exciting developments in the study of equivalence relations arising from nonsingular actions of countable groups (see the surveys [Po07, Fu09, Ga10]). The goal of the present work is to investigate the equivalence relations that are associated to dense embeddings of countable groups into locally compact groups. More precisely, consider a locally compact second countable (abbreviated, *l.c.s.c.*) group G endowed with a left Haar measure m_G, and a countable dense subgroup $\Gamma < G$. Then the left translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is measure preserving and ergodic. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ the *orbit equivalence relation* on G of belonging to the same Γ-orbit: $x \sim y \iff \Gamma x = \Gamma y$. This paper is motivated by the following question: to what extent does $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ remember the original inclusion $\Gamma < G$ it was constructed from?

In the case G is *compact*, this question has been investigated recently by the author [Io08,Io13] and by A. Furman [Fu09]. Firstly, it was shown that if Γ has property (T), then the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is *orbit equivalent superrigid*: any probability measure preserving action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ which is orbit equivalent to $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ must be virtually conjugate to it. This result was obtained in [Io08], when G is a profinite group, and in [Fu09], for general compact groups G. Secondly, assume that G is a profinite group and that $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ has spectral gap. Then the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ was very recently shown to satisfy the following rigidity statement: any translation action $\Lambda \curvearrowright (H, m_H)$ on a profinite group H that is orbit equivalent to $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ must be virtually conjugate to it [Io13].

On the other hand, in the case G is locally compact but *non-compact*, little is known about how properties of the inclusion $\Gamma < G$ reflect on the structure of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$. In fact, even basic questions, that can be easily answered in the compact case, are extremely challenging in the non-compact case. This is best illustrated by the question of characterizing when is the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ amenable (or, equivalently by [CFW81], hyperfinite). If G is compact, then $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ is amenable if and only if Γ is amenable. Only recently, by combining the structure

The author was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS #1161047, NSF Career Grant DMS #1253402, and a Sloan Foundation Fellowship.

theory of locally compact groups [MZ55] with their topological Tits alternative, E. Breuillard and T. Gelander were able to answer this question for arbitrary locally compact groups G. They showed that $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ is amenable if and only if there exists an open subgroup $G_0 < G$ such that $\Gamma \cap G_0$ is amenable [BG04]. Note that in the case G is a connected Lie group, this result was established earlier by R. Zimmer in [Zi87] (see also [CG85], for a proof in the case $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and [BG02], for an alternative proof of the general case).

In this paper, we investigate $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ for general locally compact groups G, and obtain several rigidity results. Roughly speaking, these results provide instances when the inclusion $\Gamma < G$ can be partially, or even entirely, recovered from $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$. More precisely, if the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ verifies certain conditions that strengthen non-amenability (strong ergodicity/property (T)), we prove that the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ satisfies rigidity/superrigidity statements analogous to the ones obtained in [Io08, Fu09, Io13] in the case when G compact (see Theorems A, B and C). Our method of proof relies on a result for untwisting cocycles $w : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ with values into countable groups Λ (see Theorem 3.1). As such, we are able to more generally study equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Sigma)$ which arise from translation actions on homogeneous spaces G/Σ, where $\Sigma < G$ is a closed subgroup (see Theorems E and F).

Before stating our main results in detail, we first review some terminology, starting with the notions of nonsingular actions and orbit equivalence.

1.1. **Nonsingular actions and orbit equivalence.** Let G be a l.c.s.c. group and X be a standard Borel space together with a Borel action $G \curvearrowright X$. A σ-finite Borel measure μ on X is *quasi-invariant* under the G-action if $\mu(A) = 0 \Longrightarrow \mu(gA) = 0$, for every measurable set $A \subset X$ and all $g \in G$. The measure μ is called *invariant* if $\mu(gA) = \mu(A)$, for every measurable set $A \subset X$ and all $g \in G$. If μ is quasi-invariant, then the action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *nonsingular*. If μ is invariant, then the action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *measure preserving*. In this case, if μ is a probability measure, we say that the action is *probability measure preserving* (abbreviated, *p.m.p.*). A nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *free* if the stabilizer in G of almost every point is trivial, and *ergodic* if any G-invariant measurable set $A \subset X$ is either null or conull, i.e. $\mu(A)(1 - \mu(A)) = 0$.

Example 1.1. Let G be a l.c.s.c. group and $\Sigma < G$ a closed subgroup. Then there exists a Borel measure μ on G/Σ which is quasi-invariant under the action $G \curvearrowright G/\Sigma$. Moreover, any two such measures μ, μ' are equivalent, i.e. they have the same null sets. From now on, we fix a quasi-invariant measure on G/Σ, which we denote by $m_{G/\Sigma}$. Whenever G/Σ admits a G-invariant measure, we choose $m_{G/\Sigma}$ to be G-invariant. In particular, m_G denotes a left Haar measure of G. In this paper, we study left translation actions of the form $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/\Sigma, m_{G/\Sigma})$, where $\Gamma < G$ is a countable subgroup. If $\Gamma < G$ is dense (as we will typically assume), then this action is ergodic.

Definition 1.2. Two nonsingular actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$, $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ of two countable groups Γ, Λ on standard measure spaces $(X, \mu), (Y, \nu)$ are said to be *orbit equivalent* (OE) if there is a nonsingular isomorphism $\theta : X \to Y$ such that $\theta(\Gamma x) = \Lambda\theta(x)$, for almost every $x \in X$. The actions are called *stably orbit equivalent* (SOE) if there are non-negligible measurable sets $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$ and a nonsingular isomorphism $\theta : A \to B$ such that $\theta(\Gamma x \cap A) = \Lambda\theta(x) \cap B$, for almost every $x \in A$. Finally, the actions are called *conjugate* if there exist a nonsingular isomorphism $\theta : X \to Y$ and a group isomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ such that $\theta(gx) = \delta(g)\theta(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$.

1.2. **Strong ergodicity and property (T).** In order to formulate our main results, we also need to recall the notion of strong ergodicity and property (T) for nonsingular actions.

Definition 1.3. [CW81, Sc81] Let Γ be a countable group and $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a nonsingular ergodic action on a standard probability space (X, μ). A sequence $\{A_n\}$ of measurable subsets of X is said

to be *asymptotically invariant* (*a.i.*) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(gA_n\Delta A_n) = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma$. The action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *strongly ergodic* if any sequence $\{A_n\}$ of a.i. sets is trivial, i.e. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)(1-\mu(A_n)) = 0$.

Strong ergodicity only depends on the measure class of μ. This observation allows to extend the notion of strong ergodicity to actions on infinite measure spaces. A nonsingular action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ on a standard (possibly infinite) measure space (X, μ) is said to be strongly ergodic if it is strongly ergodic with respect to a probability measure μ_0 which is equivalent to μ.

Definition 1.4. [Zi81] Let Γ be a countable group. A nonsingular action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is said to have *property (T)* if any cocycle $c : \Gamma \times X \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ into the unitary group of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which admits a sequence of almost invariant unit vectors necessarily has an invariant unit vector.

For the notions of almost invariant and invariant unit vectors, see Section 2.4. For now, note that a p.m.p. action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ has property (T) if and only if the acting group Γ has property (T) of Kazhdan. This fact is however no longer true if the action is not p.m.p.

1.3. **Orbit equivalence rigidity for translation actions.** We are now ready to state our main results. Our first result is a "locally compact analogue" of [Io13, Theorem A and Corollary 6.3] which established similar statements in the case G and H are profinite or connected compact groups.

Theorem A (OE rigidity, I)**.** *Let G be a simply connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ a countable dense subgroup. Assume that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Let H be a simply connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Lambda < H$ a countable subgroup.*

Then the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (H, m_H)$ are SOE if and only if there exists a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$.

We continue with two cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity results for translation actions on locally compact groups. These are analogous to the results proved in [Io08, Fu09] in the case of translation actions of property (T) groups Γ on compact groups G. When G is locally compact but not compact, the assumption that Γ has property (T) needs to be replaced with the stronger assumption that the (infinite measure preserving) action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ has property (T). The necessity of imposing a property (T) condition on the action was inspired by an analogous situation for weakly mixing s-malleable measure preserving actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. These actions were originally shown to be $\mathcal{U}_{\text{fin}}$-cocycle superrigid whenever Γ has property (T) and $\mu(X) < +\infty$ [Po05]. Later on, cocycle superrigidity for $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ was proved for possibly infinite measure spaces (X, μ), whenever the diagonal action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X \times X, \mu \times \mu)$ has property (T) and is weakly mixing [PV08].

Theorem B (Cocycle superrigidity)**.** *Let G be a simply connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ a countable dense subgroup. Assume that there exists a subgroup $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ such that $g\Gamma_1 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_1$ is dense in G, for all $g \in \Gamma$, and the translation action $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ has property (T).*

Let Λ be a countable group and $w : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ a cocycle.

Then there exist a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ and a Borel map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ such that we have $w(g, x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

As a consequence of Theorem B, we are able to describe all nonsingular actions that are SOE to $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$. More precisely, we prove that any such action is obtained from $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ by taking quotients and inducing, as explained in the following example:

Example 1.5. Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a nonsingular action of a countable group Γ. For an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on a set Y, we denote by $\mathcal{R}|Z := \mathcal{R} \cap (Z \times Z)$ the *restriction* of $\mathcal{R}$ to a subset $Z \subset Y$.

(1) Let Γ_0 be a normal subgroup of Γ whose action on X has a fundamental domain, i.e. there is a measurable set X_0 such that $X = \cup_{g\in\Gamma_0} gX_0$ and $\mu(gX_0 \cap X_0) = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{e\}$. Then the map $\pi : X_0 \to \Gamma_0\backslash X$ given by $\pi(x) = \Gamma_0 x$ witnesses an isomorphism between the restriction of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ to X_0 and $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright \Gamma_0\backslash X)$. As a consequence, the action $\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright \Gamma_0\backslash X$ is stably orbit equivalent to $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$. Moreover, if $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is ergodic, infinite measure preserving and $\mu(X_0) = +\infty$, then these actions are orbit equivalent.
(2) Let Γ_1 be a countable group which contains Γ. Consider the action of Γ on $\Gamma_1 \times X$ given by $g \cdot (h, x) = (hg^{-1}, gx)$ and the quotient space $X_1 := (\Gamma_1 \times X)/\Gamma$. Then the *induced action* $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright X_1$ given by $g \cdot (h, x)\Gamma = (gh, x)\Gamma$ is stably orbit equivalent to $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$. Indeed, if $X_0 := (\Gamma \times X)/\Gamma$, then the restriction of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright X_1)$ to X_0 is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$.

Theorem C (OE superrigidity)**.** *Let G be a simply connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ a countable dense subgroup. Assume that there exists a subgroup $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ such that $g\Gamma_1 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_1$ is dense in G, for all $g \in \Gamma$, and the translation action $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ has property (T).*

Let $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ be an arbitrary free ergodic nonsingular action of an arbitrary countable group Λ.

Then $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is SOE to $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ if and only if we can find a central discrete subgroup $\Gamma_0 < G$ which is contained in Γ, a subgroup $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$ and a Λ_0-invariant measurable set $Y_0 \subset Y$ such that $\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright G/\Gamma_0$ is conjugate to $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$ and the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is induced from $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$.

The above theorems show that several known rigidity phenomena for translation actions on compact groups admit analogues in the case of translation actions on locally compact non-compact groups. This leads to the question: to what extent are these two classes of actions related. The following result provides an answer to this question.

Proposition D (Weak compactness)**.** *Let G be a l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ a countable dense subgroup. Let $A \subset G$ be a measurable set with $0 < m_G(A) < +\infty$. Endow A with the probability measure obtained by restricting and rescaling m_G.*

Then the countable ergodic p.m.p. equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)|A$ is weakly compact.

Here, we are using N. Ozawa and S. Popa's notion of weak compactness for equivalence relations [OP07] (see Definition 7.1).

1.4. **Orbit equivalence rigidity for general translation actions.** The results stated so far apply to "simple" translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$. Next, assuming that G is a real algebraic group, we present two rigidity results which apply to fairly general translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/\Sigma, m_{G/\Sigma})$.

Theorem E (OE rigidity, II)**.** *Let G be a connected real algebraic group with trivial center, $\Sigma < G$ a discrete subgroup and $\Gamma < G$ a countable dense subgroup. Assume that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Let H be a connected semisimple real algebraic group with trivial center, $\Delta < H$ a discrete subgroup and $\Lambda < H$ a countable subgroup.*

Then the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/\Sigma, m_{G/\Sigma})$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (H/\Delta, m_{H/\Delta})$ are SOE if and only if there exist a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ and $h \in H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$ and $\delta(\Sigma) = h\Delta h^{-1}$.

Remark 1.6. In the context of Theorem E, assume additionally that $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, for some $n \geqslant 2$, and that $\Sigma < G$ is a lattice. Then Examples 1.7-1.9 below provide many examples of countable dense subgroups $\Gamma < G$ such that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic. On the other hand, by [IS10, Theorem D], the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Sigma$ is rigid, in the sense of S. Popa [Po01]. Altogether, this shows that Theorem E applies to a large family of rigid actions.

In the proof of Theorem E, we exploit the fact that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Sigma$ is related to the simple translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$, via the quotient map $G \to G/\Sigma$. Nevertheless, from the

point of view of orbit equivalence, these actions are at opposite ends. Indeed, by Proposition D the restriction of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ to any set of finite measure is weakly compact. On the other hand, since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Sigma$ is rigid, the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Sigma)$ is not weakly compact (this can be seen by using the ergodic-theoretic characterization of rigid actions from [Io09]).

Theorem F (OE rigidity, III)**.** *Let G and H be connected real algebraic groups with trivial centers. Let $K < G$ and $L < H$ be connected real algebraic subgroups such that $\cap_{g\in G} gKg^{-1} = \{e\}$ and $\cap_{h\in H} hLh^{-1} = \{e\}$. Let $\Gamma < G$ and $\Lambda < H$ be countable dense subgroups, and assume that the translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (H, m_H)$ are strongly ergodic.*

Then the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/K, m_{G/K})$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (H/L, m_{H/L})$ are SOE if and only if there exists a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ and $h \in H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$ and $\delta(K) = hLh^{-1}$.

Theorems E and F both require that $\Gamma < G$ is a countable dense subgroup. It would be interesting to decide whether similar results hold under the less restrictive assumption that the action Γ on the respective homogeneous spaces is ergodic.

1.5. **Strong ergodicity and property (T) for translation actions.** In view of the above results, it is natural to wonder when are translation actions strongly ergodic or have property (T)? The next two results provide necessary conditions for a translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ to be strongly ergodic or have property (T). Recall that a p.m.p. action $G \curvearrowright^{\sigma} (X, \mu)$ of a l.c.s.c. group G has *spectral gap* if there does not exist a sequence of unit vectors $\xi_n \in L^2_0(X, \mu) := L^2(X, \mu) \ominus \mathbb{C}1$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{g\in K} \|\sigma_g(\xi_n) - \xi_n\|_2 = 0$, for every compact set $K \subset G$.

Proposition G. *Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ be a product of two l.c.s.c. groups and $p : G \to G_1$ be the quotient homomorphism. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a lattice such that $p(\Gamma) < G_1$ is dense. Consider the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G_1, m_{G_1})$ given by $g \cdot x = p(g)x$, for all $g \in \Gamma, x \in G_1$.*

Then we have the following:

1. *If the action $G_2 \curvearrowright (G/\Gamma, m_{G/\Gamma})$ has spectral gap, then the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G_1, m_{G_1})$ is strongly ergodic.*
2. *If G_2 has property (T), then the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G_1, m_{G_1})$ has property (T).*

Proposition H. *Let $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $K = SO_n(\mathbb{R})$, for some $n \geqslant 3$. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a countable subgroup which is not contained in K. Assume that $\Gamma \cap K$ is dense in K and the translation action $\Gamma \cap K \curvearrowright (K, m_K)$ has spectral gap.*

Then the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic.

Next, we combine the previous propositions with known results on spectral gap and property (T) to provide concrete classes of translation actions that are strongly ergodic or have property (T).

Example 1.7. Let $\Gamma = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}])$ and $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, where $n, q \geqslant 2$ are integers and q is not a square. Then the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic if $n \geqslant 2$, and has property (T) if $n \geqslant 3$. Indeed, Γ is an irreducible lattice in $G \times G = SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and the quotient $(G \times G)/\Gamma$ is not compact. Then the action $G \curvearrowright (G \times G)/\Gamma$ has spectral gap, for any $n \geqslant 2$ (see [KM99, Theorem 1.12]). Moreover, if $n \geqslant 3$, then G has property (T) (see e.g. [Zi84]). The assertion is now a consequence of Proposition G.

Example 1.8. Let $\Gamma = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$ and G be either $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $SL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, for a prime p. Then the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic if $n \geqslant 2$ and has property (T) if $n \geqslant 3$. To explain how this assertion follows from the literature, denote $G_1 = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $G_2 = SL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then Γ is

an irreducible lattice in $\widetilde{G} := G_1 \times G_2$. Consider the unitary representation $\pi : \widetilde{G} \to \mathcal{U}(L^2_0(\widetilde{G}/\Gamma))$. As is well-known, π is *strongly* L^s, for some s: the function $\widetilde{G} \ni g \to \langle \pi(g)\xi, \eta\rangle$ is L^s-integrable, for all ξ, η belonging to a dense subspace of $L^2_0(\widetilde{G}/\Gamma)$ (see e.g. [GMO08, Theorem 1.11]). This implies that $\pi^{\otimes_N}$ is contained in a multiple of the left regular representation of $\widetilde{G}$, for all integers $N \geqslant \frac{s}{2}$. Since G_1 and G_2 are non-amenable, the restrictions of π to G_1 and G_2 do not have almost invariant vectors, and therefore the actions of G_1 and G_2 on $\widetilde{G}/\Gamma$ have spectral gap. Moreover, if $n \geqslant 3$, then G_1 and G_2 have property (T). The assertion is now a corollary of Proposition G.

Example 1.9. Let $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $K = SO_n(\mathbb{R})$, for $n \geqslant 3$. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a countable subgroup which contains a matrix $g_0 \in G \setminus K$ as well as matrices $g_1, ..., g_l \in K$ that have algebraic entries and generate a dense subgroup of K. If $n = 3$, then the work of J. Bourgain and A. Gamburd [BG06] shows that the action $\Gamma \cap K \curvearrowright (K, m_K)$ has spectral gap. Moreover, the very recent work [BdS14] implies that this statement holds for any $n \geqslant 3$. In combination with Proposition H, this shows that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic.

1.6. **Applications.** By using the above examples, one obtains many concrete families of actions to which Theorems A-F apply. Next, we present a sample of applications of our main results. For a set of primes S, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$ the subring of $\mathbb{Q}$ consisting of rational numbers whose denominators have all prime factors from S.

Corollary I. *Let $m, n \geqslant 2$ and S, T be nonempty sets of primes. Then the translation actions $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are SOE if and only if $(m, S) = (n, T)$.*

More generally, assume that $\Sigma < SL_m(\mathbb{R})$, $\Delta < SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are either (1) discrete subgroups, or (2) connected real algebraic subgroups. If the translation actions $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_n(\mathbb{R})/\Delta$ are SOE, then $(m, S) = (n, T)$.

Remark 1.10. Let us explain how in the "higher rank case", the first part of Corollary I follows from R. Zimmer's work [Zi84], provided that S and T are finite. We start with a general fact. Let Γ, Λ be lattices in two products of l.c.s.c. groups $G = G_1 \times G_2$, $H = H_1 \times H_2$, such that the left translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright G_1$, $\Lambda \curvearrowright H_1$ are SOE. Then [Ge03, Lemma 6] implies that the left translation actions $G_2 \curvearrowright G/\Gamma$, $H_2 \curvearrowright H/\Lambda$ are SOE.

Let $m, n \geqslant 2$ be integers and S, T finite sets of primes such that $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are SOE. Assume that $m \geqslant 3$ or $|S| \geqslant 2$. Then $G_{m,S} := \prod_{p \in S} SL_m(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ has rank $\geqslant 2$. Recall that $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}])$ sits diagonally as a lattice in $SL_m(\mathbb{R}) \times G_{m,S}$. The previous paragraph then implies that the translation actions $G_{m,S} \curvearrowright (SL_m(\mathbb{R}) \times G_{m,S})/SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}])$ and $G_{n,T} \curvearrowright (SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \times G_{n,T})/SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}])$ are SOE. Applying [Zi84, Theorem 10.1.8] finally gives that $G_{m,S} \cong G_{n,T}$, and therefore $(m, S) = (n, T)$.

Remark 1.11. Let $m, n \geqslant 2$ be integers and $q, r \geqslant 2$ be integers that are not squares. Assume that the actions $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{r}]) \curvearrowright SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are SOE. Then the proof of Corollary I shows that $(m, q) = (n, r)$. If $m \geqslant 3$, then this conclusion also follows from R. Zimmer's strong rigidity theorem [Zi80]. Indeed, as in the previous remark, since $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}])$ sits diagonally as a lattice in $SL_m(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_m(\mathbb{R})$, the actions $SL_m(\mathbb{R}) \curvearrowright (SL_m(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_m(\mathbb{R}))/SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}])$, $SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \curvearrowright (SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_n(\mathbb{R}))/SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{r}])$ are SOE. [Zi80, Theorem 4.3] now implies that $m = n$ and the involved actions of $SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ are conjugate, from which it follows that $q = r$ as well.

The novelty here consists of being able to handle the case $m = n = 2$ and conclude that the actions of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ on $(SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R}))/SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{q}])$ are mutually non stably orbit equivalent, as q varies through all the positive integers that are not squares.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an ergodic countable measure preserving equivalence relation on an infinite standard measure space (X, μ). The automorphism group of $\mathcal{R}$, denoted $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$, consists of nonsingular isomorphisms $\theta : X \to X$ such that $(\theta \times \theta)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$, almost everywhere. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is measure preserving and ergodic, there is $\mathrm{mod}(\theta) > 0$ such that $\theta_*\mu = \mathrm{mod}(\theta)\,\mu$. Then $\mathrm{mod} : \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathbb{R}_+^*$ is a homomorphism and its image $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}) := \mathrm{mod}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}))$ is called the fundamental group of $\mathcal{R}$.

Corollary J. *Let $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, for some $n \geqslant 2$. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a countable dense subgroup which contains the center of G such that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic.*

Then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)) = \{1\}$. In other words, any automorphism of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ preserves m_G.

Remark 1.12. If $\Gamma = SL_n(\mathbb{Q})$, then Example 1.8 implies the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Corollary J therefore implies that the fundamental group of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ is trivial. This solves part *(i)* of [Ge03, Problem 15]. Now, if $H = SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then Example 1.8 also implies that the translation $\Gamma \curvearrowright (H, m_H)$ is strongly ergodic. The proof of [Io13, Corollary 9.2] shows that $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright H)$ has trivial fundamental group, which answers part *(ii)* of [Ge03, Problem 15].

We end the introduction with an OE superrigidity result which describes all the actions that are SOE to $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ (or, equivalently, to $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})$), whenever $m \geqslant 3$ and S is a nonempty set of primes. More generally, we have:

Corollary K. *Let $m \geqslant 3$ be an integer, $\Sigma < PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ a lattice, and S a nonempty set of primes. If $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is an arbitrary free ergodic nonsingular action of an arbitrary countable group Λ, then*

(1) $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is SOE to the left translation action $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if we can find a subgroup $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$ and a finite normal subgroup $N < \Lambda_0$ such that
- *$\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is induced from some nonsingular action $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$, and*
- *$\Lambda_0/N \curvearrowright Y_0/N$ is conjugate to $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$.*

(2) $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is SOE to the left translation action $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma$ if and only if we can find a subgroup $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$ and a finite normal subgroup $N < \Lambda_0$ such that
- *$\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is induced from some nonsingular action $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$, and*
- *$\Lambda_0/N \curvearrowright Y_0/N$ is conjugate to either $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma$ or the left-right multiplication action $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \times \Sigma \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ given by $(g, \sigma) \cdot x = gx\sigma^{-1}$.*

The second part of Corollary K shows that any free p.m.p. action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ which is SOE to the left translation action $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma$, must be virtually conjugate to it (in the sense of [Fu99, Definition 1.1]). This adds to the list of OE superrigid p.m.p. actions discovered recently in [Fu99, Po05, Po06, Ki06, Io08, PV08].

1.7. **Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Alireza Salehi-Golsefidy for helpful discussions on algebraic groups and in particular for pointing out Remark 10.2.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Countable nonsingular equivalence relations.** Let (X, μ) be a standard measure space. An equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on X is called *countable nonsingular* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- every equivalence class $[x]_{\mathcal{R}} = \{y \in X | (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}\}$ is countable,
- $\mathcal{R}$ is a Borel subset of $X \times X$, and
- the $\mathcal{R}$-saturation $\cup_{x \in A}[x]_{\mathcal{R}}$ of any null set A is also a null set.

If $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is a nonsingular action of a countable group Γ, then the *orbit equivalence relation*

$$\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X | \Gamma x = \Gamma y\}$$

is a countable nonsingular equivalence relation. Conversely, J. Feldman and C.C. Moore proved that every countable nonsingular equivalence relation arises this way [FM77].

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a countable nonsingular equivalence relation on (X, μ). We denote by $[\mathcal{R}]$ the *full group* of $\mathcal{R}$ consisting of all nonsingular isomorphisms $\theta : X \to X$ such that $(\theta(x), x) \in \mathcal{R}$, for almost every $x \in X$. We also denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ the *automorphism group* of $\mathcal{R}$ consisting of all nonsingular isomorphisms $\theta : X \to X$ such that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $(\theta(x), \theta(y)) \in \mathcal{R}$, for almost every $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $[\mathcal{R}]$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$. The quotient group is denoted by $\mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ and called the *outer automorphism group* of $\mathcal{R}$.

Finally, we say that $\mathcal{R}$ is *measure preserving* if every $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}]$ preserves μ. If μ is a probability measure and $\mathcal{R}$ is measure preserving, then we say that $\mathcal{R}$ is *probability measure preserving* (*p.m.p.*).

2.2. **Strong ergodicity for countable equivalence relations.** Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a countable nonsingular equivalence relation on a standard probability space (X, μ). A sequence $\{A_n\}$ of measurable subsets of X is said to be *asymptotically invariant* (*a.i.*) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(\theta(A_n)\Delta A_n) = 0$, for all $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}]$.

Definition 2.1. [CW81, Sc81] A countable nonsingular equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ is called *strongly ergodic* if any sequence $\{A_n\}$ of a.i. sets is trivial, i.e. satisfies $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)(1 - \mu(A_n)) = 0$.

Remark 2.2. It can readily seen that strong ergodicity only depends on the measure class of μ. Thus, we say that an equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on a standard measure space (X, μ) is strongly ergodic if it is strongly ergodic with respect to a probability measure μ_0 which is equivalent to μ. It is easy to check that a nonsingular action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is strongly ergodic (in the sense of Definition 1.3) if and only if its orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ is strongly ergodic.

Next, we record the following result, whose proof is identical to the proof of [Io13, Lemma 2.7], although the latter is only stated in the case of p.m.p. actions.

Lemma 2.3. *[Io13] Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a countable nonsingular equivalence relation on a standard probability space (X, μ). Assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is strongly ergodic.*

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\delta > 0$ and $F \subset [\mathcal{R}]$ finite such that if a Borel map $\rho : X \to Y$ with values into a standard Borel space Y satisfies $\mu(\{x \in X |\ \rho(\theta(x)) = \rho(x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \delta$, for all $\theta \in F$, then there exists $y \in Y$ such that $\mu(\{x \in X |\ \rho(x) = y\}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$.

2.3. **Strong ergodicity for actions of locally compact groups.** The notion of strong ergodicity for actions of countable groups has a natural extension to actions of locally compact groups.

Definition 2.4. Let $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a nonsingular ergodic action of a l.c.s.c. group G on a standard probability space (X, μ).

- A sequence $\{A_n\}$ of measurable subsets of X is said to be *asymptotically invariant* if it satisfies $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{g\in K} \mu(gA_n\Delta A_n) = 0$, for every compact set $K \subset G$.
- The action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is called *strongly ergodic* if any asymptotically invariant sequence $\{A_n\}$ is trivial, i.e. $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)(1 - \mu(A_n)) = 0$.
- A nonsingular action $G \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ of a l.c.s.c. group G on a standard measure space (X, μ) is strongly ergodic if it is strongly ergodic with respect to some (equivalently, to any) Borel probability measure μ_0 on X which is equivalent to μ.

Next, we provide two constructions of strongly ergodic actions of locally compact groups.

Lemma 2.5. *If G is a l.c.s.c. group, then the left translation action $G \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic.*

Proof. Let μ be a Borel probability measure on G which is equivalent to m_G. Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable subsets of G such that $\sup_{g\in K} \mu(gA_n\Delta A_n) \to 0$, for every compact $K \subset G$. Our goal is to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)(1-\mu(A_n)) = 0$.

If $\beta_n = \int_G \mu(g^{-1}A_n\Delta A_n)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(g)$, then the dominated convergence theorem shows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = 0$. Let $K_0 \subset G$ be a compact set with $m_G(K_0) > 0$. By Fubini's theorem, for all n we have that

$$\int_{K_0}\int_G |1_{A_n}(gx) - 1_{A_n}(x)|\, \mathrm{d}\mu(g)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant \int_G\int_G |1_{A_n}(gx) - 1_{A_n}(x)|\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(g) =$$

$$\int_G \mu(g^{-1}A_n\Delta A_n)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(g) = \beta_n.$$

Thus, for every $n \geqslant 1$, we can find $x_n \in K_0$ such that $\int_G |1_{A_n}(gx_n) - 1_{A_n}(x_n)|\, \mathrm{d}\mu(g) \leqslant \dfrac{\beta_n}{\mu(K_0)}$.

If $x_n \notin A_n$, then $\mu(A_nx_n^{-1}) \leqslant \dfrac{\beta_n}{\mu(K_0)}$. On the other hand, if $x_n \in A_n$, then $1-\mu(A_nx_n^{-1}) \leqslant \dfrac{\beta_n}{\mu(K_0)}$. In either case, we get that $\mu(A_nx_n^{-1})(1-\mu(A_nx_n^{-1}) \leqslant \dfrac{\beta_n}{\mu(K_0)}$, for all n. Since $\beta_n \to 0$, we conclude that $\mu(A_nx_n^{-1})(1-\mu(A_nx_n^{-1})) \to 0$.

Since K_0 is compact, after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to some $x \in K_0$. Let m'_G be a right invariant Haar measure on G. Then μ is absolutely continuous with respect to m'_G, hence we can find $f \in L^1(G, m'_G)_+$ such that $\mathrm{d}\mu = f\mathrm{d}m'_G$. Then $|\mu(A_nx_n^{-1}) - \mu(A_nx^{-1})| \leqslant \int_G |f(yx_n) - f(yx)|\mathrm{d}m'_G(y)$ and therefore $|\mu(A_nx_n^{-1}) - \mu(A_nx^{-1})| \to 0$. In combination with the last paragraph, we get that $\mu(A_nx^{-1})(1-\mu(A_nx^{-1})) \to 0$. This easily implies that $\mu(A_n)(1-\mu(A_n)) \to 0$, which finishes the proof. ∎

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a l.c.s.c. group. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a lattice and $\Gamma \curvearrowright^{\alpha} (X, \mu)$ a nonsingular action on a standard probability space (X, μ). Assume that the induced action $G \curvearrowright^{\tilde{\alpha}} (G/\Gamma \times X, m_{G/\Gamma} \times \mu)$ is strongly ergodic.*

Then α is strongly egodic.

Proof. Let $\phi : G/\Gamma \to G$ be a Borel map such that $\phi(x)\Gamma = x$, for all $x \in G/\Gamma$. Let $w : G\times G/\Gamma \to \Gamma$ be the cocycle given by $w(g, x) = \phi(gx)^{-1}g\phi(x)$, for all $g \in G$ and $x \in G/\Gamma$. Then the induced action $\tilde{\alpha}$ is given by $g(x, y) = (gx, w(g, x)y)$, for all $g \in G, x \in G/\Gamma$ and $y \in X$. Denote $\tilde{\mu} = m_{G/\Gamma} \times \mu$.

Consider a sequence $\{A_n\}$ of measurable subsets of X such that $\mu(gA_n\Delta A_n) \to 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma$. Define $\tilde{A}_n = G/\Gamma \times A_n$. Then $\sup_{g\in K} \tilde{\mu}(g\tilde{A}_n\Delta\tilde{A}_n) \to 0$, for every compact set $K \subset G$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ is strongly ergodic, we get that $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{A}_n)(1-\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{A}_n)) \to 0$, which implies that $\mu(A_n)(1-\mu(A_n)) \to 0$. ∎

2.4. **Property (T) for actions and equivalence relations.** Next, we recall R. Zimmer's notion of property (T) for actions and equivalence relations. Let (X, μ) be a standard measure space.

Firstly, let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ be a nonsingular action of a countable group Γ and let G be a Polish group. A Borel map $c : \Gamma \times X \to G$ is called a *cocycle* if it satisfies the relation $c(gh, x) = c(g, hx)c(h, x)$, for all $g, h \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$. Two cocycles $c_1, c_2 : \Gamma \times X \to G$ are called *cohomologous* if there exists a Borel map $\phi : X \to G$ such that $c_1(g, x) = \phi(gx)c_2(g, x)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$.

Definition 2.7. [Zi81] A nonsingular action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is said to have *property (T)* if every cocycle $c : \Gamma \times X \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ into the unitary group of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which admits a sequence of almost invariant unit vectors necessarily has an invariant unit vector, where:

- A *sequence of almost invariant unit vectors* is a sequence of Borel maps $\xi_n : X \to \mathcal{H}$ such that for almost every $x \in X$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\xi_n(gx) - c(g, x)\xi_n(x)\| = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma$, and $\|\xi_n(x)\| = 1$, for all $n \geqslant 1$.
- An *invariant unit vector* is a Borel map $\eta : X \to \mathcal{H}$ which satisfies $\eta(gx) = c(g, x)\eta(x)$ and $\|\eta(x)\| = 1$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$.

Secondly, let $\mathcal{R}$ be a countable nonsingular equivalence relation on (X, μ) and G be a Polish group. A Borel map $c : \mathcal{R} \to G$ is called a *cocycle* if for almost every $x \in X$ we have $c(x, y)c(y, z) = c(x, z)$, for all $y, z \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$. Two cocycles $c_1, c_2 : \mathcal{R} \to G$ are said to be *cohomologous* if there exists a Borel map $\phi : X \to G$ such that $c_1(x, y) = \phi(x)c_2(x, y)\phi(y)^{-1}$, for almost every $x \in X$ and all $y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Definition 2.8. [Zi81] A nonsingular equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on (X, μ) is said to have *property (T)* if every cocycle $c : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ into the unitary group of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which admits a sequence of almost invariant unit vectors necessarily has an invariant unit vector, where

- A *sequence of almost invariant unit vectors* is a sequence of Borel maps $\xi_n : X \to \mathcal{H}$ such that for almost every $x \in X$ we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\xi_n(x) - c(x, y)\xi_n(y)\| = 0$, for all $y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$, and $\|\xi_n(x)\| = 1$, for every $n \geqslant 1$.
- An *invariant unit vector* is a Borel map $\eta : X \to \mathcal{H}$ which satisfies $\eta(x) = c(x, y)\eta(y)$ and $\|\eta(x)\| = 1$, for almost every $x \in X$ and all $y \in [x]_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Remark 2.9. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an ergodic countable nonsingular equivalence relation on (X, μ). Then the following hold:

(1) If $\mathcal{R}$ has property (T), then it is of type II, i.e. there is an $\mathcal{R}$-invariant measure ν on X which is equivalent to μ. This follows from the fact that any cocycle $\alpha : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{R}^*_+$ is trivial (see [Zi84, Theorem 9.1.1]).
(2) If $A \subset X$ is a non-null measurable subset, then $\mathcal{R}|A$ has property (T) if and only if $\mathcal{R}$ has property (T).
(3) If $A \subset X$ is a non-null measurable subset, then $\mathcal{R}|A$ is strongly ergodic if and only if $\mathcal{R}$ is strongly ergodic.
(4) If $\mathcal{R}$ has property (T), then it is strongly ergodic. In the case when $\mathcal{R}$ is of type II_1, this can be deduced easily from [Pi04, Théorème 20]. In general, one can reduce to this case by using the previous three facts.

In the proof of Theorem B, we will need the following result asserting that if a p.m.p. equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ has property (T), then any almost invariant vector is close to an invariant vector.

Proposition 2.10. *[Po86, Pi04] Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a countable ergodic p.m.p. equivalence relation on a standard probability space (X, μ). Assume that $\mathcal{R}$ has property (T).*

Then there exist a constant $\kappa > 0$ and a finite set $F \subset [\mathcal{R}]$ such that the following holds:

Let $c : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ be a cocycle, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, and $\xi : X \to \mathcal{H}$ a Borel map such that $\|\xi(x)\| = 1$, for almost every $x \in X$. Then there is an invariant unit vector $\eta : X \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\int_X \|\eta(x) - \xi(x)\| \, d\mu(x) \leqslant \kappa \sum_{\theta\in F} \int_X \|\xi(\theta(x)) - w(\theta(x), x)\xi(x)\| \, d\mu(x).$$

Proposition 2.10 is an immediate consequence of [Pi04, Théorème 20]. Proposition 2.10 also follows from [Po86, Lemma 4.1.5], after noticing that a countable ergodic p.m.p. equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ has property (T) if and only if its von Neumann algebra $L(\mathcal{R})$ has property (T) relative to $L^\infty(X)$ (in the sense of [Po86, Definition 4.1.3]).

2.5. **Algebraic groups and smooth actions.** The purpose of this subsection is to establish following result about real algebraic groups that we will need in the proof of Theorem E.

Lemma 2.11. *Let G be a real algebraic group, $H < G$ an $\mathbb{R}$-subgroup, and denote $N = \cap_{g\in G} gHg^{-1}$.*

Then there are an integer $n \geqslant 1$, a G-invariant open conull subset $\Omega \subset (G/H)^n$ and a Borel map $\pi : \Omega \to G/N$ such that $\pi(gx) = g\pi(x)$, for all $g \in G$ and every $x \in \Omega$.

Moreover, for every $g \in G \setminus N$, the set $\{x \in G | gxH = xH\}$ has measure zero.

This result is most likely known, but for the lack of a reference, we include a proof. The proof of Lemma 2.11 relies on the fact that algebraic actions of algebraic groups on varieties are smooth. We begin by recalling the following (see [Zi84]):

Definition 2.12. A Borel space X is called *countably separated* if there exists a sequence of Borel sets which separate points. A Borel action of a l.c.s.c. group G on a standard Borel space X is called *smooth* if the quotient Borel space X/G is countably separated.

The next well-known theorem is due to Borel and Serre (see [Zi84, Theorem 3.1.3]).

Theorem 2.13. *If a real algebraic group G acts algebraically on an $\mathbb{R}$-variety V, then the action of G on V is smooth, where V is endowed with its natural Borel structure.*

Proof of Lemma 2.11. Let $K = \mathbb{C}$. By a theorem of Chevalley (see [Zi84, Proposition 3.1.4]) we can find a regular homomorphism $\pi : G \to GL_r(K)$ and a point $x \in K^r$ such that H is the stabilizer of $[x] \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}(K)$ in G. We may clearly assume that the set $\{\pi(g)x | g \in G\}$ spans K^r. Thus, we can find $h_1, ..., h_r \in G$ such that the vectors $\{\pi(h_1)x, ..., \pi(h_r)x\}$ are linearly independent.

Let Ω_0 be the set of $(g_1, ..., g_r) \in G^r$ such that the vectors $\{\pi(g_1)x, ..., \pi(g_r)x\}$ are linearly independent. Then Ω_0 is a non-empty, Zariski open subset of G^r. In particular, we get $m_{G^r}(G^r \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$, where m_{G^r} denotes the Haar measure on G^r obtained by taking the r-fold product of m_G with itself. Let $n = r + 1$ and Ω_1 be the set of $(g_1, ..., g_n) \in G^n$ such that the vectors $\{\pi(g_i)x | 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, i \neq j\}$ are linearly independent, for any $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Then Ω_1 is a G-invariant Zariski open subset of G^n. Moreover, since $m_{G^r}(G^r \setminus \Omega_0) = 0$, we get that $m_{G^n}(G^n \setminus \Omega_1) = 0$.

Next, we argue that if $(g_1, ..., g_n) \in \Omega_1$, then $\cap_{i=1}^n g_i H g_i^{-1} = N$. Let $h \in \cap_{i=1}^n g_i H g_i^{-1}$. Notice that $\pi(h)$ stabilizes $[\pi(g_1)x], ..., [\pi(g_n)x]$. In other words, $\{\pi(g_1)x, ..., \pi(g_n)x\}$ are $n = r + 1$ eigenvectors for $\pi(h) \in GL_r(K)$. Since any r vectors from the set $\{\pi(g_1)x, ..., \pi(g_n)x\}$ are linearly independent, we get that $\pi(h) = \alpha I$, for some $\alpha \in K \setminus \{0\}$. If $g \in G$, then $\pi(g^{-1}hg)x = \alpha x$, hence $g^{-1}hg \in H$. This shows that $h \in N$, as claimed.

Let $\Omega = \{(g_1H, ..., g_nH) | (g_1, ..., g_n) \in \Omega_1\}$. Since Ω_1 is a G-invariant, open and conull subset of G^n, we get that Ω is a G-invariant, open and conull subset of $(G/H)^n$. Note that the action of G on $(G/H)^n$ descends to an action of G/N. Then the previous paragraph shows that the action $G/N \curvearrowright \Omega$ is free, i.e. $gx \neq x$, for every $g \in G/N$, $g \neq e$ and all $x \in \Omega$.

Now, if $\widetilde{H} < \widetilde{G}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-groups, then $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{H}$ can be endowed with a natural $\mathbb{R}$-variety structure on which $\widetilde{G}$ (hence, any $\mathbb{R}$-subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$) acts $\mathbb{R}$-algebraically (see [Zi84, Proposition 3.1.4]). In particular, $(G/H)^n = G^n/H^n$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-variety on which G acts $\mathbb{R}$-algebraically. By applying Theorem 2.13 we derive that the action $G \curvearrowright (G/H)^n$ is smooth and hence it admits a *Borel selector*. More precisely,

there exists a Borel map $s : (G/H)^n \to (G/H)^n$ satisfying $s(x) \in Gx$ and $s(gx) = s(x)$, for all $g \in G$ and $x \in (G/H)^n$ (see [Ke95, Exercise 18.20 iii]).

Since the action $G/N \curvearrowright \Omega$ is free, for every $x \in \Omega$, there is a unique $\pi(x) \in G/N$ such that $x = \pi(x)s(x)$. The map $\pi : \Omega \to G/N$ clearly satisfies $\pi(gx) = g\pi(x)$, for all $g \in G/N$ and every $x \in \Omega$. In order to finish the proof of the first assertion, it remains to prove that π is Borel. To this end, let $F \subset G/N$ be a closed set.

Let d be a metric on $(G/H)^n$ which gives the Hausdorff topology. Then $f : (G/H)^n \to [0, \infty)$ defined by $f(x) = \inf_{g \in F} d(x, gs(x))$ is Borel. Let $x \in \Omega$ such that $f(x) = 0$. Then there is a sequence $\{g_m\}_{m \geqslant 1}$ in F such that $g_m s(x) \to x$, as $m \to \infty$. Since the action $G \curvearrowright (G/H)^n$ is smooth and the stabilizer of x is equal to N, the map $G/N \ni g \to gx \in Gx$ is a homeomorphism [Zi84, Theorem 2.1.14]. Thus, we can find $g \in F$ such that $g_m \to g$, as $m \to \infty$. This implies that $gs(x) = x$ and hence $\pi(x) = g \in F$. Altogether, it follows that $\{x \in \Omega | \pi(x) \in F\} = \Omega \cap \{x \in (G/H)^n | f(x) = 0\}$ is a Borel set. Since this holds for any closed set $F \subset G/N$, we get that π is Borel. This finishes the proof of the first assertion.

Finally, note that $\cap_{i=1}^n g_i H g_i^{-1} = N$, for almost every $(g_1, ..., g_n) \in G^n$. It follows that if $A \subset G$ has positive measure, then $\cap_{g \in A} gHg^{-1} = N$, which implies the moreover assertion. ■

2.6. **Extensions of homomorphisms.** We end this section by recording a result about extending homomorphisms from a dense subgroup of a l.c.s.c. group to the whole group:

Lemma 2.14. *Let G be a l.c.s.c. group endowed with a Haar measure m_G, and H be a Polish group. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a dense subgroup and $\delta : \Gamma \to H$ be a homomorphism. Assume that $\alpha : G \to H$ is a Borel map such that for all $g \in \Gamma$ we have that $\alpha(gx) = \delta(g)\alpha(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$.*

Then δ extends to a continuous homomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ and we can find $h \in H$ such that $\alpha(g) = \delta(g)h$, for almost every $g \in G$.

For a proof, see the proof of [Io13, Lemma 2.8].

3. Cocycle rigidity

The main goal of this section is to establish the following criterion for a cocycle for a translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ with values in a countable group Λ to be cohomologous to a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a simply connected l.c.s.c. group and A a Borel subset with $0 < m_G(A) < +\infty$. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a countable dense subgroup and denote $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$.*

Let Λ be a countable group and $w : \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda$ be a cocycle. Suppose that there exist a constant $C \in (\frac{31}{32}, 1)$ and a neighborhood V of the identity in G such that

$$m_G(\{x \in G \mid w(\alpha(x)t, xt) = w(\alpha(x), x)\}) \geqslant C\, m_G(A), \text{ for all } \alpha \in [\mathcal{R}|A] \text{ and every } t \in V. \tag{3.1}$$

Then we can find a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ and a Borel map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ such that we have $w(gx, x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

The proof of this result is an adaptation of A. Furman's proof of [Fu09, Theorem 5.21]. A main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the following immediate consequence of [Io08, Lemma 2.1] which provides a necessary condition for two cocycles to be cohomologous.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a countable ergodic p.m.p. equivalence relation on a standard probability space (X,μ). Let Λ be a countable group and $w_1, w_2 : \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda$ be two cocycles.*

Let $C \in (\frac{31}{32}, 1)$ and assume that $\mu(\{x \in X \mid w_1(\alpha(x), x) = w_2(\alpha(x), x)\}) \geqslant C$, for every $\alpha \in [\mathcal{R}]$.

Then there exists a Borel map $\phi : X \to \Lambda$ such that we have $\mu(\{x \in X | \phi(x) = e\}) > \frac{3}{4}$ and $w_1(x,y) = \phi(x)w_2(x,y)\phi(y)^{-1}$, for almost every $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma < [\mathcal{R}]$ be a countable subgroup which generates $\mathcal{R}$ [FM77]. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is ergodic, the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ is ergodic. For $i \in \{1,2\}$, define a cocycle $v_i : \Gamma \times X \to \Lambda$ by letting $v_i(g,x) = w_i(gx,x)$. Since $C > \frac{7}{8}$ and the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ is ergodic, [Io08, Lemma 2.1] implies that we can find a Borel map $\phi : X \to \Lambda$ such that $v_1(g,x) = \phi(gx)v_2(g,x)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in X$. Thus, $w_1(x,y) = \phi(x)w_2(x,y)\phi(y)^{-1}$, for almost every $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$.

Moreover, a close inspection of the proof of [Io08, Lemma 2.1] shows that ϕ verifies the following: there exists $\eta \in L^2(X \times \Lambda, \mu \times c)$ such that $\phi(x)$ is the unique $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfying $|\eta(x,\lambda)| > \frac{1}{2}$, and $\|\eta - 1_{X\times\{e\}}\|_2 \leqslant \sqrt{2-2C} < \frac{1}{4}$. Here, c denotes the counting measure on Λ. Since

$$\mu(\{x \in X |\ |\eta(x,e)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\}) \leqslant 4\int_X |\eta(x,e) - 1|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant 4\|\eta - 1_{X\times\{e\}}\|_2^2 < \frac{1}{4},$$

we conclude that $\mu(\{x \in X | \phi(x) = e\}) > \frac{3}{4}$. ∎

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** As in the proof of [Fu09, Theorem 5.21], for any $t \in G$, we define a new cocycle $w_t : \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda$ by letting $w_t(x,y) = w(xt^{-1}, yt^{-1})$. Since $C > \frac{31}{32}$, equation 3.1 implies that the restrictions of w, w_t to $\mathcal{R}|A$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.2, for any $t \in W := \{t^{-1} | t \in V\}$. Thus, by applying Lemma 3.2, for any $t \in W$, we can find a Borel map $\phi_t : A \to \Lambda$ satisfying $m_G(\{x \in A | \phi_t(x) = e\}) > \frac{3}{4}m_G(A)$ and $w(xt^{-1}, yt^{-1}) = \phi_t(x)w(x,y)\phi_t(y)^{-1}$, for almost every $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}|A$.

Now, since $\Gamma < G$ is dense, we can find a Borel map $\psi : G \to A$ such that $\psi(x) = x$, for all $x \in A$, and $\psi(x) \in \Gamma x$, for almost every $x \in G$. For $t \in W$, we extend $\phi_t : A \to \Lambda$ to a map $\phi_t : G \to \Lambda$ by letting $\phi_t(x) = w(xt^{-1}, \psi(x)t^{-1})\phi_t(\psi(x))w(\psi(x), x)$. Then it is easy to check that

$$w(xt^{-1}, yt^{-1}) = \phi_t(x)w(x,y)\phi_t(y)^{-1}, \quad \text{for almost every} \quad (x,y) \in \mathcal{R} \quad \text{and every} \quad t \in W. \tag{3.2}$$

Next, we claim that whenever $t, s, ts \in W$ we have that

$$\phi_{ts}(x) = \phi_t(xs^{-1})\phi_s(x), \quad \text{for almost every } x \in G. \tag{3.3}$$

To see this, let $t, s \in W$ such that $ts \in W$, and define $F(x) = \phi_{ts}(x)^{-1}\phi_t(xs^{-1})\phi_s(x)$. Then equation 3.2 implies that $F(x)w(x,y)F(y)^{-1} = w(x,y)$, for almost every $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$. This further gives that the set $B = \{x \in G | F(x) = e\}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-invariant. Since $m_G(\{x \in A | \phi_t(x) = e\}) > \frac{3}{4}m_G(A)$, for every $t \in W$, we deduce that $m_G(\{x \in A | F(x) = e\}) > 0$ and hence $m_G(B) > 0$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is ergodic, we conclude that $B = G$, almost everywhere, which proves the claim.

Since G is simply connected, the second part of the proof of [Fu09, Theorem 5.21] shows that we can find a family of measurable maps $\{\phi_t : G \to \Lambda\}_{t\in G}$ which extends the family $\{\phi_t : G \to \Lambda\}_{t\in W}$ defined above in such a way that the identity 3.3 holds for every $t, s \in G$.

By arguing exactly as in the end of the proof of [Fu09, Theorem 5.21] it follows that we can find a measurable map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ such that

$$\phi_t(x) = \phi(xt^{-1})\phi(x)^{-1}, \quad \text{for almost every } (x,t) \in G \times G. \tag{3.4}$$

By combining equations 3.2 and 3.4 we get that $\phi(xt^{-1})^{-1}w(xt^{-1}, yt^{-1})\phi(yt^{-1}) = \phi(x)^{-1}w(x,y)\phi(y)$, for almost every $(x,y) \in \mathcal{R}$ and almost every $t \in G$. Let $g \in \Gamma$ and define $L_g : G \to \Lambda$ by letting

$L_g(x) = \phi(gx)^{-1}w(gx,x)\phi(x)$. Then we have that $L_g(xt) = L_g(x)$, for almost every $(x,t) \in G \times G$. This implies that we can find $\delta(g) \in \Lambda$ such that $L_g(x) = \delta(g)$, for almost every $x \in G$. But then $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ must be a homomorphism and the proof is finished. ■

We continue with the following consequence of Theorem 3.1 which will be a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem A.

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a simply connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ be a countable dense subgroup such that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic. Let Λ be a countable subgroup of a Polish group H and $w : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ be a cocycle. Assume that there exists a Borel map $\theta : G \to H$ such that $w(g,x) = \theta(gx)\theta(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.*

Then there exist a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ and a Borel map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ such that we have $w(g,x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

Corollary 3.3 is a "locally compact analogue" of [Io13, Theorem 4.1] (see also [Io13, Remark 4.2]), with a very similar proof.

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ and let $v : \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda$ be the cocycle given by $v(gx,x) = w(g,x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $x \in G$. Fix a Borel set $A \subset G$ with $0 < m_G(A) < +\infty$ and let μ be the probability measure on A given by $\mu(B) = m_G(A)^{-1}m_G(B)$, for every $B \subset A$. Also, fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{64})$.

Since $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic, $\mathcal{R}$ and hence $\mathcal{R}|A$ is strongly ergodic. By Lemma 2.3, we can find $F \subset [\mathcal{R}|A]$ finite and $\delta > 0$ such that if a Borel map $\rho : A \to Y$ into a standard Borel space Y satisfies $\mu(\{x \in A | \rho(\alpha(x)) = \rho(x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \delta$, for all $\alpha \in F$, then there exists $y \in Y$ such that $\mu(\{x \in A | \rho(x) = y\}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$.

Let $\alpha \in F$. Then there is a Borel map $\gamma : A \to \Gamma$ such that $\alpha(x) = \gamma(x)x$, for all $x \in A$. Hence, for all $x \in A$ and $t \in G$ we have that $v(\alpha(x)t, xt) = v(\gamma(x)xt, xt) = w(\gamma(x), xt)$. Since γ and w take countably many values, it is easy to see that $\lim_{t\to e} \mu(\{x \in A \mid w(\gamma(x), xt) = w(\gamma(x), x)\}) = 1$. Equivalently, we have that $\lim_{t\to e} \mu(\{x \in A \mid v(\alpha(x)t, xt) = v(\alpha(x), x)\}) = 1$. We can therefore find a neighborhood V of the identity in G such that

$$\mu(\{x \in A \mid v(\alpha(x)t, xt) = v(\alpha(x), x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \delta, \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in F \quad \text{and every} \quad t \in V. \tag{3.5}$$

For every $t \in V$, we define a Borel map $\rho_t : G \to H$ by letting $\rho_t(x) = \theta(x)^{-1}\theta(xt)$. Since $\rho_t(\alpha(x)) = \rho_t(x) \iff v(\alpha(x), x) = v(\alpha(xt), xt)$, equation 3.5 rewrites as

$$\mu(\{x \in A \mid \rho_t(\alpha(x)) = \rho_t(x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \delta, \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in F \quad \text{and every} \quad t \in V. \tag{3.6}$$

By combining 3.6 and the above consequence of strong ergodicity, for every $t \in V$, we can find $y_t \in H$ such that we have $\mu(\{x \in G \mid \rho_t(x) = y_t\}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$. Hence, if $\alpha \in [\mathcal{R}|A]$, then since α preserves μ, we get that $\mu(\{x \in G \mid \rho_t(\alpha(x)) = \rho_t(x)\}) \geqslant 1 - 2\varepsilon$. From this we further derive that

$$\mu(\{x \in A \mid v(\alpha(x)t, xt) = v(\alpha(x), x)\}) \geqslant 1 - 2\varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in [\mathcal{R}|A] \quad \text{and every} \quad t \in V. \tag{3.7}$$

Since $1 - 2\varepsilon \in (\frac{31}{32}, 1)$, applying Lemma 3.1 yields a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ and a Borel map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ such that $v(gx,x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. This clearly implies the conclusion. ■

3.2. **Approximately trivial cocycles.** We end this section by recalling [Io13, Lemma 4.2]. Note that although [Io13, Lemma 4.2] is only stated for p.m.p. actions, its proof applies verbatim to nonsingular actions.

Lemma 3.4. *[Io13] Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X,\mu)$ be a strongly ergodic nonsingular action of a countable group Γ on a standard probability space (X,μ). Let H be a Polish group and $w:\Gamma\times X\to H$ a cocycle. Assume that there exists a sequence of Borel maps $\{\theta_n : X\to H\}_{n\geqslant 1}$, such that for all $g\in\Gamma$ we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(\{x\in X|w(g,x)=\theta_n(gx)\theta_n(x)^{-1}\})=1$.*

Then there exists a Borel map $\theta : X\to H$ such that $w(g,x)=\theta(gx)\theta(x)^{-1}$, for all $g\in\Gamma$ and almost every $x\in X$.

4. Proof of Theorem A

4.1. **A generalization of Theorem A.** We begin this section by proving the following more general version of Theorem A:

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma<G$ a countable dense subgroup such that the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright(G,m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Let H be a connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Lambda<H$ a countable subgroup. Let $A\subset G, B\subset H$ be non-negligible measurable sets and $\theta : A\to B$ be a nonsingular isomorphism such that $\theta(\Gamma x\cap A)=\Lambda\theta(x)\cap B$, for almost every $x\in A$.*

Suppose that $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{H}$ are simply connected l.c.s.c. groups together with continuous onto homomorphisms $p:\widetilde{G}\to G$ and $q:\widetilde{H}\to H$ such that $\ker(p)<\widetilde{G}$ and $\ker(q)<\widetilde{H}$ are discrete subgroups. Denote $\widetilde{\Gamma}=p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}=q^{-1}(\Lambda)$.

Then we can find a topological isomorphism $\delta:\widetilde{G}\to\widetilde{H}$ such that $\delta(\widetilde{\Gamma})=\widetilde{\Lambda}$, a Borel map $\phi:\widetilde{G}\to\Lambda$, and $h\in H$ such that $\theta(p(x))=\phi(x)q(\delta(x))h$, for almost every $x\in p^{-1}(A)$.

Moreover, if G and H have trivial centers, then we can find a topological isomorphism $\bar{\delta}:G\to H$ such that $\bar{\delta}(\Gamma)=\Lambda$, a Borel map $\phi:G\to\Lambda$, and $h\in H$ such that $\theta(x)=\phi(x)\bar{\delta}(x)h$, for almost every $x\in A$.

Proof. Since the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright G$ is ergodic, we can extend θ to a measurable map $\theta:G\to H$ such that $\theta(\Gamma x)\subset\Lambda\theta(x)$, for almost every $x\in G$. Define $\tilde{\theta}:\widetilde{G}\to H$ by letting $\tilde{\theta}(x)=\theta(p(x))$. Let $w:\widetilde{\Gamma}\times\widetilde{G}\to\Lambda$ be the cocycle given by the relation $\tilde{\theta}(gx)=w(g,x)\tilde{\theta}(x)$.

By Example 1.5 (1), the actions $\Gamma\curvearrowright G$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}$ are stably orbit equivalent. Since the action $\Gamma\curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic, we deduce that the action $\widetilde{\Gamma}\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}$ is also strongly ergodic. Since applying Corollary 3.3, we can find a homomorphism $\rho:\widetilde{\Gamma}\to\Lambda$ and a Borel map $\phi:\widetilde{G}\to\Lambda$ such that $w(g,x)=\phi(gx)\rho(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g\in\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and almost every $x\in\widetilde{G}$.

Define $\hat{\theta}:\widetilde{G}\to H$ by letting $\hat{\theta}(x)=\phi(x)^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(x)$. Then $\hat{\theta}(gx)=\rho(g)\hat{\theta}(x)$, for all $g\in\widetilde{\Gamma}$ and almost every $x\in\widetilde{G}$. By Lemma 2.14, ρ extends to a continuous homomorphism $\rho:\widetilde{G}\to H$ and we can find $h\in H$ such that $\hat{\theta}(x)=\rho(x)h$, for almost every $x\in\widetilde{G}$. From this we get that

$$\tilde{\theta}(x)=\phi(x)\rho(x)h,\quad\text{for almost every}\quad x\in\widetilde{G}. \tag{4.1}$$

We claim that $\ker(\rho)$ is discrete in $\widetilde{G}$. Otherwise, we can find a sequence $\{g_n\}$ in $\ker(\rho)\setminus\{e\}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}g_n=e$. Since $\ker(p)$ is discrete in $\widetilde{G}$, we may assume that $p(g_n)\neq e$, for all n. By using 4.1 we derive that $\tilde{\theta}(g_nx)=\phi(g_nx)\phi(x)^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(x)$, for almost every $x\in\widetilde{G}$. Since Λ is countable, $m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x\in\widetilde{G}|\phi(g_nx)=\phi(x)\text{ and }p(x),p(g_nx)\in A\})>0$, for n large enough. We would thus get that $m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x\in\widetilde{G}|\tilde{\theta}(g_nx)=\tilde{\theta}(x)\text{ and }p(x),p(g_nx)\in A\})>0$, for some n, contradicting the fact that the restriction of θ to A is 1-1 and $p(g_n)\neq e$.

Next, let us show that ρ is an onto open map. Let V be a neighborhood of $e\in\widetilde{G}$. Let $W\subset\widetilde{G}$ be a compact subset such that $W^{-1}W\subset V$ and $m_{\widetilde{G}}(W)>0$. Then $\rho(W)\subset H$ is a compact

subset. Moreover, since $\theta : A \to B$ is a nonsingular isomorphism and $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$ is countable-to-1, we get that $m_H(\widetilde{\theta}(W)) > 0$. Since ϕ takes countably many values, by using 4.1 we deduce that $m_H(\rho(W)) > 0$. By [Zi84, Lemma B.4] we derive that $\rho(W)^{-1}\rho(W)$ and therefore $\rho(V)$ contains a neighborhood of $e \in H$. This shows that ρ is an open map. In particular, $\rho(\widetilde{G})$ is an open subgroup of H. Since H is connected, we deduce that $\rho(\widetilde{G}) = H$.

Altogether, we have that both $q : \widetilde{H} \to H$ and $\rho : \widetilde{G} \to H$ are covering homomorphisms. Since $\widetilde{H}$ and $\widetilde{G}$ are simply connected, by using the universality property of universal covering groups, we can find a topological isomorphism $\delta : \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H}$ such that $q \circ \delta = \rho$. Thus, equation 4.1 rewrites as

$$\theta(p(x)) = \tilde{\theta}(x) = \phi(x)q(\delta(x))h, \quad \text{for almost every} \quad x \in \widetilde{G}. \tag{4.2}$$

Finally, note that if $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$, then $q(\delta(g)) = \rho(g) \in \Lambda$ and hence $\delta(g) \in \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Conversely, let $g \in \widetilde{G}$ such that $\delta(g) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. Then $\theta(p(gx)) = \phi(gx)q(\delta(gx)) \in \Lambda q(\delta(x)) = \Lambda\theta(p(x))$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Note that by the construction of θ, for almost every $x \in G$ we have that $\theta(y) \in \Lambda\theta(x) \Rightarrow y \in \Gamma x$. From this get we that $p(g)p(x) = p(gx) \in \Gamma p(x)$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Therefore, $p(g) \in \Gamma$ and hence $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$. This shows that $\delta(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \widetilde{\Lambda}$ and finishes the proof of the main assertion.

For the moreover assertion, assume that G and H have trivial centers. Then $\ker(p) = Z(\widetilde{G})$ and $\ker(q) = Z(\widetilde{H})$, and therefore δ descends to a topological isomorphism $\bar{\delta} : G \to H$. It is now clear that ϕ factors through the map $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$, and the moreover assertion follows. ■

4.2. **Proof of Theorem A.** Since G, H are simply connected, Theorem A follows by applying Theorem 4.1 in the case $\widetilde{G} = G$, $\widetilde{H} = H$. ■

4.3. **The outer automorphism of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$.** Theorem 4.1 also allows us to compute the outer automorphism group of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$. To state this precisely, for a l.c.s.c. group G and a subgroup Γ, we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma < G)$ the group of topological automorphisms δ of G such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Gamma$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ be a countable dense subgroup such that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Suppose that $\widetilde{G}$ is a simply connected l.c.s.c. group together with an onto continuous homomorphism $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$ such that $\ker(p)$ is discrete in $\widetilde{G}$. Denote $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma} = p^{-1}(\Gamma)$.*

Consider the semidirect product $L := \widetilde{G} \rtimes Aut(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$. For $\tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$, denote by $Ad(\tilde{\gamma}) \in Aut(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$ the conjugation with $\tilde{\gamma}$. Then $\Delta := \{(g, Ad(\tilde{\gamma})) \mid g \in \widetilde{G}, \tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ with $p(g\tilde{\gamma}) = e\}$ is a normal subgroup of L and we have the following:

(1) $Out(\mathcal{R}) \cong L/\Delta$.
(2) Assume additionally that every $\delta \in Aut(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$ preserves $m_{\widetilde{G}}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{R}) = \{1\}$.

Proof. (1) We begin the proof of this assertion with a claim. Let $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$.

Claim. There exists $\theta_\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\theta_\delta(p(x)) \in \Gamma p(\delta(x))$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$.

Proof of the claim. Since $\ker(p)$ is discrete, we can find an open neighborhood V of $e \in \tilde{G}$ such that $m_G(V) < \infty$ and p is 1-1 on $V^{-1}V \cup \delta(V^{-1}V)$. It follows that the map $\theta : p(V) \to p(\delta(V))$ given by $\theta(p(x)) = p(\delta(x))$ is well-defined and 1-1. Since δ scales the Haar measure m_G, δ and hence further θ is nonsingular. Moreover, for all $x, y \in p(V)$ we have that $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$ if and only if $\Gamma\theta(x) = \Gamma\theta(y)$.

Let us argue that θ extends to an automorphism $\theta_\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$. Assuming that this is the case, then since $\theta_\delta(p(x)) = p(\delta(x))$, for all $x \in V$, it is easy to show that θ_δ satisfies the claim.

To construct θ_δ, we consider two cases. Firstly, assume that G is compact. Then m_G is a finite measure, hence δ and θ preserve m_G. Our claim now follows from the proof of [**?**, Lemma 2.2]. Secondly, suppose that G is locally compact but not compact. Then m_G is an infinite measure. Since $m_G(V) < \infty$, $m_G(\delta(V)) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is ergodic, we can find sequences of disjoint measurable subsets $\{X_i\}_{i=0}^\infty, \{Y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ of G and of elements $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in $[\mathcal{R}]$ such that

- $X_0 = p(V)$ and $Y_0 = p(\delta(V))$.
- $X_i = \alpha_i(X_0)$ and $Y_i = \beta_i(Y_0)$, for all $i \geqslant 1$.
- $G = \cup_{i\geqslant 0} X_i = \cup_{i\geqslant 0} Y_i$, almost everywhere.

We define θ_δ by letting $\theta_\delta(x) = \theta(x)$, if $x \in X_0$, and $\theta_\delta(x) = \beta_i\theta\alpha_i^{-1}(x)$, if $x \in X_i$, for $i \geqslant 1$. □

Next, let $\varepsilon : \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ be the quotient homomorphism. If $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$, then $\varepsilon(\theta_\delta)$ only depends on δ (and not on the choices made in the proof of the claim). This allows to define a homomorphism $\rho_1 : \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G}) \to \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ by letting $\rho_1(\delta) = \varepsilon(\theta_\delta)$. Further, for $g \in \widetilde{G}$, we define $\theta_g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{R})$ by letting $\theta_g(x) = xp(g^{-1})$, for every $x \in G$. Then we consider the homomorphism $\rho_2 : \widetilde{G} \to \mathrm{Out}(R)$ given by $\rho_2(g) = \varepsilon(\theta_g)$. It is easy to check that $\rho_1(\delta)\rho_2(g)\rho_1(\delta)^{-1} = \rho_2(\delta(g))$, for all $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$ and every $g \in \widetilde{G}$.

We can therefore define a homomorphism $\rho : L \to \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ by letting $\rho(g, \delta) = \rho_1(g)\rho_2(\delta) = \varepsilon(\theta_g\theta_\delta)$, for every $g \in \widetilde{G}$ and $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$. Theorem 4.1 immediately gives that ρ is onto. If $g \in \widetilde{G}$ and $\tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ are such that $p(g\tilde{\gamma}) = e$, then $\theta_g\theta_{\mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{\gamma})}(p(x)) \in \Gamma p(\tilde{\gamma}x\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}g^{-1}) = \Gamma p(x)$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. This shows that $\Delta \subset \ker(\rho)$.

Conversely, let $(g, \delta) \in \ker(\rho)$. Thus, $\theta_g\theta_\delta \in [\mathcal{R}]$, hence $p(\delta(x)g^{-1}) \in \Gamma p(x)$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. We derive that there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $A = \{x \in \widetilde{G} | p(\delta(x)g^{-1}) = \gamma p(x)\}$ has positive measure. Since $p(\delta(xy^{-1})) = \gamma p(xy^{-1})\gamma^{-1}$, for all $x, y \in A$, the subgroup $\{x \in \widetilde{G} | p(\delta(x)) = \gamma p(x)\gamma^{-1}\}$ of $\widetilde{G}$ has positive measure. Since $\widetilde{G}$ is connected, we conclude that $p(\delta(x)) = \gamma p(x)\gamma^{-1}$, for all $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ such that $p(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$. Then $p(\delta(x)) = p(\tilde{\gamma}x\tilde{\gamma}^{-1})$, for all $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Since $\ker(p)$ is discrete and $\widetilde{G}$ is connected, we deduce that $\delta = \mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{\gamma})$. Therefore, for almost every $x \in A$ we have that $p(\tilde{\gamma}x\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}g^{-1}) = p(\delta(x)g^{-1}) = \gamma p(x) = p(\tilde{\gamma}x)$. We further get that $p(g\tilde{\gamma}) = e$ and hence $(g, \delta) = (g, \mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{\gamma})) \in \Delta$. This completes the proof of assertion (1).

(2) Assume that every automorphism $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$ preserves $m_{\widetilde{G}}$. Then $\mathrm{Ad}(\tilde{\gamma})$ preserves $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, for every $\tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$. Since $\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G}$ is dense, we deduce that $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ preserves $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, for every $g \in \widetilde{G}$. This implies that $\widetilde{G}$ is unimodular. It follows that the map $\widetilde{G} \ni x \to \delta(x)g \in \widetilde{G}$ preserves $m_{\widetilde{G}}$, for every $\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G})$ and all $g \in \widetilde{G}$. Since the homomorphism $\rho : L \to \mathrm{Out}(\mathcal{R})$ defined above is onto, we get that every automorphism of $\mathcal{R}$ preserves m_G and assertion (2) follows. ■

4.4. **Borel reducibility rigidity.** We end this section with an analogue of Theorem 4.1 for Borel reducibility. Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{S}$ be countable Borel equivalence relations on standard Borel spaces X, Y. We say that $\mathcal{R}$ is *Borel reducible to* $\mathcal{S}$ if there exists a Borel map $\theta : X \to Y$ such that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ if and only if $(\theta(x), \theta(y)) \in \mathcal{S}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ be a countable dense subgroup such that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Suppose that $\widetilde{G}$ is a simply connected l.c.s.c. group together with an onto continuous homomorphism $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$ such that $\ker(p)$ is discrete in $\widetilde{G}$. Denote $\widetilde{\Gamma} = p^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Let H be a l.c.s.c. group and $\Lambda < H$ a countable subgroup.*

Then $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ is Borel reducible to $\mathcal{R}(\Lambda \curvearrowright H)$ if and only if there exists a continuous homomorphism $\delta : \widetilde{G} \to H$ such that $\delta^{-1}(\Lambda) = \widetilde{\Gamma}$.

Proof. To see the *if* part, assume that $\delta : \widetilde{G} \to H$ is a continuous homomorphism such that $\delta^{-1}(\Lambda) = \widetilde{\Gamma}$. Let $r : G \to \widetilde{G}$ be a Borel map such that $p(r(x)) = x$, for all $x \in G$. Then it is routine to check that $\theta : G \to H$ given by $\theta(x) = \delta(r(x))$ is the desired Borel reduction.

For the *only if* part, assume that there exists a Borel map $\theta : G \to H$ such that $x \in \Gamma y$ if and only if $\theta(x) \in \Lambda\theta(y)$. Then the proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that we can find a Borel map $\phi : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$, a continuous homomorphism $\delta : \widetilde{G} \to H$ satisfying $\delta(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \subset \Lambda$, and $h \in H$ such that $\theta(p(x)) = \phi(x)\delta(x)h$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. In order to finish the proof, we only need to argue that $\delta^{-1}(\Lambda) \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}$. To this end, let $g \in G$ such that $\delta(g) \in \Lambda$. Then for almost every $x \in G$, hence for some $x \in G$, we have that $\theta(p(gx)) = \phi(gx)\delta(gx)h = (\phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1})\theta(p(x)) \in \Lambda\theta(p(x))$. This implies that $p(g)p(x) = p(gx) \in \Gamma p(x)$ and therefore $p(g) \in \Gamma$, hence $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$, as desired. ∎

5. Proof of Theorem B

The proof of Theorem B follows closely the proof of [Fu09, Theorem 5.21]. The idea behind the proof is based on S. Popa's deformation/rigidity theory. Roughly speaking, we exploit the tension between the rigidity coming from the property (T) of the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ and the deformation associated to the right multiplication action of G on itself.

5.1. **Proof of Theorem B.** Assume first that G is simply connected and the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ has property (T). Let $w : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ be a cocycle, where Λ is a countable group. Our goal is to show that w is cohomologous to a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$.

Let $A \subset G$ be a Borel subset with $0 < m_G(A) < +\infty$. Since $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ has property (T), we get that $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ has property (T) and that $\mathcal{R}|A$ has property (T). Note that $\mathcal{R}|A$ preserves the probability measure μ on A given by $\mu(B) = m_G(A)^{-1}m_G(B)$, for all measurable subsets $B \subset A$.

By Proposition 2.10 we can find $\kappa > 0$ and a finite set $F \subset [\mathcal{R}|A]$ such that the following holds: if $c : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a cocycle, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, and $\xi : A \to \mathcal{H}$ is a Borel map satisfying $\|\xi(x)\| = 1$, for almost every $x \in A$, then there exists an invariant unit vector $\eta : A \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\int_A \|\eta(x) - \xi(x)\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant \kappa \sum_{\theta_0 \in F} \int_A \|\xi(\theta_0(x)) - c(\theta_0(x), x)\xi(x)\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x).$$

Since η is an invariant vector and $[\mathcal{R}|A]$ preserves μ, it follows that for all $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}|A]$ we have that

$$\int_A \|\xi(\theta(x)) - c(\theta(x), x)\xi(x)\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant 2\kappa \sum_{\theta_0 \in F} \int_A \|\xi(\theta_0(x)) - c(\theta_0(x), x)\xi(x)\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x). \tag{5.1}$$

Next, we let $v : \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda$ be given by $v(gx, x) = w(g, x)$. For $t \in G$, we define a cocycle $v_t : \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda$ by letting $v_t(x, y) = v(xt^{-1}, yt^{-1})$. Further, we define a cocycle $c_t : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{U}(\ell^2(\Lambda))$ by letting

$$(c_t(x, y)f)(\lambda) = f(v_t(x, y)^{-1}\lambda v(x, y)), \text{ for all } (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}, \ f \in \ell^2(\Lambda) \text{ and } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Let $\xi : A \to \ell^2(\Lambda)$ be given by $\xi(x) = \delta_e$, for all $x \in A$. Then we have $c_t(x, y)\xi(y) = \delta_{v_t(x,y)v(x,y)^{-1}}$, for all $x, y, t \in G$, where $\{\delta_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ denotes the usual orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\Lambda)$. Thus, by applying equation 5.1 we get that for all $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}|A]$ and every $t \in G$ we have that

$$\int_A \|\delta_e - \delta_{v_t(\theta(x),x)v(\theta(x),x)^{-1}}\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant 2\kappa \sum_{\theta_0 \in F} \int_A \|\delta_e - \delta_{v_t(\theta_0(x),x)v(\theta_0(x),x)^{-1}}\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{32})$. Since Λ is countable, $\lim_{t\to e} \mu(\{x \in A | v_t(\theta(x), x) = v(\theta(x), x)\}) = 1$, for any $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}|A]$ (see the proof of Corollary 3.3). Therefore, we can find a neighborhood V of $e \in G$ such that

$$\int_A \|\delta_e - \delta_{v_t(\theta_0(x),x)v(\theta_0(x),x)^{-1}}\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2\kappa|F|}, \text{ for every } t \in V \text{ and all } \theta_0 \in F. \tag{5.3}$$

By combining 5.2 and 5.3 we derive that for all $t \in V$ and every $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}|A]$, we have that

$$\sqrt{2}\, \mu(\{x \in A \mid v_t(\theta(x), x) \neq v(\theta(x), x)\}) = \int_A \|\delta_e - \delta_{v_t(\theta(x),x)v(\theta(x),x)^{-1}}\| \, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we conclude that $\mu(\{x \in A | v_t(\theta(x), x) = v(\theta(x), x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}$, for all $t \in V$ and $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}|A]$. Since $1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} > \frac{31}{32}$, the conclusion follows by applying Theorem 3.1.

This finishes the proof in the case the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ has property (T). In general, the conclusion is obtained by combining this case with the following lemma. ∎

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a connected l.c.s.c. group, $\Gamma < G$ a countable subgroup, $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ a subgroup, and $g \in \Gamma$ such that $g\Gamma_1 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_1$ is dense in G. Let Λ be a countable group, $\delta : \Gamma_1 \to \Lambda$ a homomorphism, and $w : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ a cocycle such that $w(h, x) = \delta(h)$, for all $h \in \Gamma_1$ and almost every $x \in G$.*

Then there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $w(g, x) = \lambda$, for almost every $x \in G$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_2 = g\Gamma_1 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_1$ and $\alpha : \Gamma_2 \to \Gamma_1$ given by $\alpha(h) = g^{-1}hg$. If $h \in \Gamma_2$, then $g\alpha(h) = hg$ and the cocycle relation yields that $w(g, \alpha(h)x)\delta(\alpha(h)) = w(g\alpha(h), x) = w(hg, x) = \delta(h)w(g, x)$, for almost every $x \in G$. Let S be the set of $(x, y) \in G \times G$ such that $w(g, x) = w(g, y)$. Then the last identity implies that S is invariant under the diagonal action of $\alpha(\Gamma_2)$ on $G \times G$. Since $\alpha(\Gamma_2)$ is dense in G, S must be invariant under the diagonal action of G on $G \times G$.

Therefore, since S is non-negligible, there is a non-negligible measurable set $T \subset G$ such that $S = \{(z, zt) | z \in G, t \in T\}$, almost everywhere. As a consequence, the set T_0 of all $t \in G$ such that $(z, zt) \in S$, for almost every $z \in G$, is non-negligible. Since $T_0 < G$ is a subgroup and G is connected, we derive that $T_0 = G$, almost everywhere. Thus, $S = G \times G$, almost everywhere, which clearly implies the conclusion. ∎

6. Proof of Theorem C

Assume that a translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ has property (T). In this section, we use Theorem B to describe the actions that are SOE to $\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Sigma$, whenever $\Sigma < G$ is a discrete subgroup. In particular, by applying this description in the case $\Sigma = \{e\}$, we deduce Theorem C.

Theorem 6.1. *Let G be a simply connected l.c.s.c. group and $\Gamma < G$ a countable dense subgroup. Assume that there exists a subgroup $\Gamma_1 < \Gamma$ such that $g\Gamma_1 g^{-1} \cap \Gamma_1$ is dense in G, for all $g \in \Gamma$, and the translation action $\Gamma_1 \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ has property (T). Let $\Sigma < G$ be a discrete subgroup. Let $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ be a free ergodic nonsingular action of a countable group Λ which is SOE to $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/\Sigma, m_{G/\Sigma})$.*

Then we can find a normal subgroup $\Delta < \Gamma \times \Sigma$, a subgroup $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$, and a Λ_0-invariant Borel subset $Y_0 \subset Y$ with $\nu(Y_0) > 0$ such that

- *Δ is discrete in $G \times G$,*
- *the left-right multiplication action $\Delta \curvearrowright G$ admits a measurable fundamental domain,*

- *the action* $(\Gamma \times \Sigma)/\Delta \curvearrowright G/\Delta$ *is conjugate to* $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$*, and*
- *the action* $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ *is induced from* $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$*.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ be a free nonsingular action which is SOE to $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/\Sigma, m_{G/\Sigma})$. Since the latter action is SOE to the action $\Gamma \times \Sigma \curvearrowright G$, we deduce that $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ is SOE to $\Gamma \times \Sigma \curvearrowright G$. Let $A \subset G$, $B \subset Y$ be non-negligible measurable sets and $\theta : A \to B$ a nonsingular isomorphism such that $\theta((\Gamma \times \Sigma)x \cap A) = \Lambda\theta(x) \cap B$, for almost every $x \in A$. Since $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is ergodic, we may extend θ to a measurable map $\theta : G \to Y$ such that $\theta((\Gamma \times \Sigma)x) \subset \Lambda\theta(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$.

Define a cocycle $w : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ by the formula $\theta(gx) = w(g, x)\theta(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. By applying Theorem B, we can find a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ and a Borel map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ such that $w(g, x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

The map $\tilde{\theta} : G \to Y$ given by $\tilde{\theta}(x) = \phi(x)^{-1}\theta(x)$ therefore satisfies

$$\tilde{\theta}(gx) = \delta(g)\tilde{\theta}(x), \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma \text{ and almost every } x \in G. \tag{6.1}$$

Claim. $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ extends to a homomorphism $\delta : \Gamma \times \Sigma \to \Lambda$ such that $\tilde{\theta}(gx\sigma^{-1}) = \delta(g, \sigma)\tilde{\theta}(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$, $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and almost every $x \in G$.

Proof of the claim. Fix $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Since $\tilde{\theta}(x\sigma^{-1}) \in \Lambda\tilde{\theta}(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$, we can find a Borel map $v : G \to \Lambda$ such that $\tilde{\theta}(x\sigma^{-1}) = v(x)\tilde{\theta}(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$. By combining the fact that the actions of Γ and Σ on G commute with 6.1 and the freeness of the Λ-action, it follows that

$$v(gx) = \delta(g)v(x)\delta(g)^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma \text{ and almost every } x \in G. \tag{6.2}$$

Define S to be the set of all $(x, y) \in G \times G$ such that $v(x) = v(y)$. Equation 6.2 gives that S is invariant under the diagonal action of Γ on $G \times G$. Since $\Gamma < G$ is dense, S must be invariant under the diagonal action of G on $G \times G$. By repeating the argument from the proof of Lemma 5.1, we derive that $S = G \times G$, almost everywhere. This implies that $v : G \to \Lambda$ is a constant function. If we denote this constant by $\delta(\sigma)$, then $\tilde{\theta}(x\sigma^{-1}) = \delta(\sigma)\tilde{\theta}(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$. It is then clear that $\delta : \Sigma \to \Lambda$ is a homomorphism. Moreover, by equation 6.2 we get that $\delta(\sigma)$ commutes with $\delta(\Gamma)$. Altogether, the claim follows. □

Let $\Delta := \ker(\delta)$. Assume by contradiction that Δ is not discrete in $G \times G$. Since $\Sigma < G$ is discrete, it follows there is a sequence $g_n \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = e$ and $(g_n, e) \in \Delta$, for all n. Fix a Borel set $A_0 \subset A$ with $0 < m_G(A_0) < \infty$. Then $\tilde{\theta}(g_n x) = \tilde{\theta}(x)$, for all n, and almost every $x \in A_0$. Since ϕ takes countably many values, we also have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_G(\{x \in A_0 | \phi(g_n x) = \phi(x)\}) = m_G(A_0)$. By combining these facts, we get that $m_G(\{x \in A_0 | \theta(g_n x) = \theta(x)\}) > 0$, for n large enough. This contradicts the fact that the restriction of θ to A is $1 - 1$.

Now, let $\rho := \theta^{-1} : B \to A$. Since $\rho(\Lambda y \cap B) = (\Gamma \times \Sigma)\rho(y) \cap A$, for almost every $y \in B$, and the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is ergodic, we may extend ρ to a measurable map $\rho : Y \to G$ such that $\rho(\Lambda y) \subset (\Gamma \times \Sigma)\rho(y)$, for almost every $y \in Y$. Then $\rho(\Lambda\tilde{\theta}(x)) = \rho(\Lambda\theta(x)) \subset (\Gamma \times \Sigma)x$, for almost every $x \in G$, and the rest of the assertions are a consequence of the following lemma. ■

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ *be a nonsingular action of a countable group* Γ *and* $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$ *be a free nonsingular action of a countable group* Λ*. Assume that there exist nonsingular maps* $\theta : X \to Y$*,* $\rho : Y \to X$ *and a group homomorphism* $\delta : \Gamma \to \Lambda$ *such that* $\rho(\Lambda\theta(x)) \subset \Gamma x$*, for almost every* $x \in X$*, and* $\theta(gx) = \delta(g)\theta(x)$*, for all* $g \in \Gamma$ *and almost every* $x \in X$*.*

Define $\Gamma_0 := \ker(\delta)$*,* $\Lambda_0 := \delta(\Gamma)$ *and* $Y_0 := \theta(X)$*.*

Then the action $\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright X$ *admits a measurable fundamental domain, the action* $\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright X/\Gamma_0$ *is conjugate to* $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$*, and the action* $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ *is induced from* $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$*.*

Proof. Consider the nonsingular map $\tau := \rho \circ \theta : X \to X$. Let $X_1 \subset X$ be a maximal measurable set such that $\mu(gX_1 \cap X_1) = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{e\}$. Define $X_0 = \cup_{g\in\Gamma_0} gX_1$. If $X_0 = X$, then X_0 is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright X$. Assume by contradiction that $\mu(X \setminus X_0) > 0$. Since $\tau(x) \in \Gamma x$, for almost every $x \in X$, there is a non-negligible subset $X_2 \subset X \setminus X_0$ such that $\tau_{|X_2}$ is 1-1. Note that $\tau(gx) = \tau(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma_0$ and almost every $x \in X$. We deduce that $\mu(gX_2 \cap X_2) = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{e\}$. Since $X_2 \subset X \setminus X_0$ and X_0 is Γ_0-invariant, we get that $X_3 = X_1 \cup X_2$ also satisfies that $\mu(gX_3 \cap X_3) = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma_0 \setminus \{e\}$. This contradicts the maximality of X_1.

Since the action $\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright X$ has a measurable fundamental domain, the quotient space X/Γ_0 endowed with the push-forward of μ is a standard measure space. Since $\theta(gx) = \theta(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma_0$ and almost every $x \in X$, letting $\bar\theta : X/\Gamma_0 \to Y_0$ given by $\bar\theta(\Gamma_0 x) = \theta(x)$ defines an onto nonsingular map. Moreover, $\bar\theta$ is 1-1. Indeed, if $x, y \in X$ are such that $\theta(x) = \theta(y)$, then $\tau(x) = \tau(y)$, hence $\Gamma x = \Gamma y$. Let $g \in \Gamma$ such that $y = gx$. Since $\theta(x) = \theta(y) = \delta(g)\theta(x)$ and the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is free, we deduce that $\delta(g) = e$. Hence $g \in \Gamma_0$ and therefore $\Gamma_0 x = \Gamma_0 y$. This shows that θ is 1-1. It is now clear that $\bar\theta$ is a conjugacy between $\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright X/\Gamma_0$ and $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$.

To see that the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is induced from $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$, let $h \in \Lambda$ such that $\nu(hY_0 \cap Y_0) > 0$. Then we can find $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $y = \theta(x_1)$ and $h^{-1}y = \theta(x_2)$. But then we get that $\theta(x_2) \in \Lambda\theta(x_1)$ and by applying ρ we deduce that $x_2 \in \Gamma x_1$. Let $g \in \Gamma$ such that $x_2 = gx_1$. Thus, $h^{-1}y = \theta(x_2) = \delta(g)\theta(x_1) = \delta(g)y$. This shows that $h = \delta(g)^{-1} \in \Lambda_0$, which finishes the proof. ∎

6.1. **Proof of Theorem C.** If $\Delta < \Gamma$ is a normal subgroup which is discrete in G, then since $\Gamma < G$ is dense and G is connected, Δ must be central in G. Using this fact, Theorem C follows immediately by applying Theorem 6.1 in the case $\Sigma = \{e\}$. ∎

7. Proof of Proposition D

In preparation for the proof of Proposition D, we recall the notion of weak compactness for countable p.m.p. equivalence relations. In [OP07, Definition 3.1], N. Ozawa and S. Popa defined the notion of weak compactness for p.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$. In [OP07, Proposition 3.4] they established that if the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ is weakly compact, then the action of the full group of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright X)$ on X is also weakly compact. Thus, one can define weak compactness for countable p.m.p. equivalence relations $\mathcal{R}$ by insisting that the associated action of the full group $[\mathcal{R}]$ is weakly compact:

Definition 7.1. [OP07] A countable p.m.p. equivalence relation $\mathcal{R}$ on a standard probability space (X, μ) is said to be *weakly compact* if there exists a net of vectors $\eta_n \in L^2(X \times X, \mu^{\otimes 2})$ such that $\eta_n \geqslant 0$ and $\|\eta_n\|_2 = 1$, for all n, and the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\lim_n \|\eta_n - (u \otimes \bar u)\eta_n\|_2 = 0$, for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(L^\infty(X))$.
(2) $\lim_n \|\eta_n - \eta_n \circ (\theta \times \theta)\|_2 = 0$, for all $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}]$.
(3) $\lim_n \langle (v \otimes 1)\eta_n, \eta_n\rangle = \lim_n \langle (1 \otimes v)\eta_n, \eta_n\rangle = \int_X v \, \mathrm{d}\mu$, for all $v \in L^\infty(X)$.

Here, for $u, v \in L^\infty(X)$, the function $u \otimes \bar v \in L^\infty(X \times X)$ is given by $(u \otimes \bar v)(x, y) = u(x)\overline{v(y)}$. Also, $\mu^{\otimes 2}$ denotes the product measure $\mu \otimes \mu$ on $X \times X$. Note that the above conditions are precisely conditions (1),(2) and (3') from [OP07, Definition 3.1] for the action $[\mathcal{R}] \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$.

7.1. **Proof of Proposition D.** Denote $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma \curvearrowright G)$ and let $A \subset G$ be a Borel set with $0 < m_G(A) < +\infty$. Then $\mu = m_G(A)^{-1} m_G$ is a Haar measure of G such that $\mu(A) = 1$.

Our goal is to show that $\mathcal{R}|A$ is weakly compact. Note first that we may assume that A is an open subset of G such that $\bar A$ is compact. This is because we can find an open set $B \subset G$ such that $\bar B$

is compact and $m_G(A) = m_G(B)$. Then since $\mathcal{R}$ is ergodic and preserves m_G, there exists $\theta \in [\mathcal{R}]$ such that $\theta(A) = B$, hence $\mathcal{R}|A \cong \mathcal{R}|B$.

Since G is second countable, we can find a left invariant compatible metric d on G. For $x \in G$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $B_r(x) = \{y \in G | d(x, y) < r\}$ the open ball of radius r centered at x. We also let $B_r = B_r(e)$. Notice that $\mu(B_r(x)) = \mu(xB_r) = \mu(B_r)$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $S_\varepsilon = \{(x, y) \in A \times A | d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$. Then $\mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon) > 0$. Indeed, otherwise we would get that $\mu(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A) = 0$, for almost every $x \in A$. Since A is open, this would imply that there exists $x \in A$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that $\mu(B_{\varepsilon'}(x)) = 0$, which contradicts the fact that μ is a Haar measure of G. Thus, we may further define

$$\eta_\varepsilon := \frac{1_{S_\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{\mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)}} \in L^2(A \times A, \mu^{\otimes 2})$$

Then $\eta_\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ and $\|\eta_\varepsilon\|_2 = 1$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$. We will show that the net (η_ε) verifies conditions (1)-(3) from Definition 7.1. Firstly, we verify condition (3). To this end, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\xi_\varepsilon : A \to [0, \infty)$ by letting $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = \displaystyle\int_A \eta_\varepsilon^2(x, y)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(y)$.

Claim 1. We have that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|\xi_\varepsilon\|_\infty = 1$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \xi_\varepsilon(x) = 1$, for almost every $x \in A$.

Proof Claim 1. We define a function $r : A \to (0, \infty)$ by letting $r(x) = \sup\{r > 0 | B_r(x) \subset A\}$. Since A is open, r is a well-defined continuos function and $B_{r(x)}(x) \subset A$, for all $x \in A$.

Let $n \geqslant 1$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_n > 0$ such that $A_n := \{x \in A | r(x) \geqslant \varepsilon_n\}$ satisfies $\mu(A_n) > 1 - 2^{-n-1}$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_n]$. Then for all $x \in A_n$ we have that $B_\varepsilon(x) \subset A$ and hence

$$\mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon) = \int_A \mu(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \geqslant \int_{A_n} \mu(B_\varepsilon(x))\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \mu(B_\varepsilon)\mu(A_n) > (1 - 2^{-n-1})\mu(B_\varepsilon).$$

As a consequence, for every $x \in A$ we have that

$$\xi_\varepsilon(x) = \mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)^{-1}\mu(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A) \leqslant \mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)^{-1}\mu(B_\varepsilon) < (1 - 2^{-n-1})^{-1} < 1 + 2^{-n}.$$

This shows that

$$\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_\infty < 1 + 2^{-n}, \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_n]. \tag{7.1}$$

On the other hand, we have that $\mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon) = \displaystyle\int_A \mu(B_\varepsilon \cap A)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant \mu(B_\varepsilon)$. Thus, if $x \in A_n$, then $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = \mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)^{-1}\mu(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A) = \mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)^{-1}\mu(B_\varepsilon) \geqslant 1$. Hence, we get that

$$\xi_\varepsilon(x) \geqslant 1, \text{ for all } \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_n] \text{ and every } x \in A_n. \tag{7.2}$$

Since $\mu(A_n) \geqslant 1 - 2^{-n-1}$, for all n, it is easy to see that 7.1 and 7.2 together imply the claim. □

If $v \in L^\infty(A)$, then $\langle (v \otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon, \eta_\varepsilon \rangle = \displaystyle\int_A v(x)\xi_\varepsilon(x)\, \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$. By combining Claim 1 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \langle (v \otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon, \eta_\varepsilon \rangle = \displaystyle\int_A v(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(x)$. Since η_ε is symmetric, we have that $\langle (1 \otimes v)\eta_\varepsilon, \eta_\varepsilon \rangle = \langle (v \otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon, \eta_\varepsilon \rangle$ and altogether condition (3) follows.

Towards showing that the net (η_ε) verifies conditions (1) and (2), we first establish the following:

Claim 2. We have that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \|(v \otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon - (1 \otimes v)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2 = 0$, for all $v \in L^\infty(A)$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of functions $v \in L^\infty(A)$ for which there exists a continuous function $\tilde{v} : \bar{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $v = \tilde{v}_{|A}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is $\|.\|_2$-dense in $L^\infty(A)$. On the other hand,

condition (3) implies that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|(v\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2 = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|(1\otimes v)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2 = \|v\|_2$, for every $v\in L^\infty(A)$. Thus, in order to prove the claim, it suffices to show that it holds for every $v\in\mathcal{C}$.

Let $v\in\mathcal{C}$ and $\tilde{v}$ be a continuous extension of v to $\bar{A}$. Let $\delta>0$. Since $\tilde{v}$ is continuous and $\bar{A}$ is compact, $\tilde{v}$ is uniformly continuous. It follows that we can find $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that $|v(x)-v(y)|<\delta$, for all $x,y\in A$ such that $d(x,y)\leqslant\varepsilon_0$. From this we deduce that for all $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$ we have

$$\|(v\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon-(1\otimes v)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2=\mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)^{-1}\int_{S_\varepsilon}|v(x)-v(y)|^2\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes 2}(x,y)<\delta^2.$$

Since $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|(v\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon-(1\otimes v)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2=0$. □

Now, if $u\in\mathcal{U}(L^\infty(A))$, then by Claim 2 we get $\|\eta_\varepsilon-(u\otimes\bar{u})\eta_\varepsilon\|_2=\|(1\otimes u)\eta_\varepsilon-(u\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2\to 0$, as $\varepsilon\to 0$, which proves condition (1).

Finally, in order to prove condition (2), let $\theta\in[\mathcal{R}|A]$. Then we can find a Borel map $\phi:A\to\Gamma$ such that $\theta(x)=\phi(x)x$, for almost every $x\in A$. Notice that if $x,y\in A$ and $\phi(x)=\phi(y)$, then we have $d(\theta(x),\theta(y))=d(x,y)$. Using this observation we get that if $\varepsilon>0$ then

$$\|\eta_\varepsilon-\eta_\varepsilon\circ(\theta\times\theta)\|_2^2=\mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)^{-1}\int_{X\times X}|1_{S_\varepsilon}(x,y)-1_{S_\varepsilon}(\theta(x),\theta(y))|^2\,\mathrm{d}\mu^{\otimes 2}(x,y)\leqslant \mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)^{-1}\mu^{\otimes 2}(\{(x,y)\in S_\varepsilon|\phi(x)\neq\phi(y)\}). \tag{7.3}$$

For $g\in\Gamma$, denote $A_g=\{x\in A|\phi(x)=g\}$. Then we have

$$\mu^{\otimes 2}(\{(x,y)\in S_\varepsilon|\phi(x)\neq\phi(y)\})\leqslant\sum_{g\in\Gamma}\mu^{\otimes 2}(\{(x,y)\in S_\varepsilon|x\in A_g,y\notin A_g\})\leqslant \mu^{\otimes 2}(S_\varepsilon)\sum_{g\in\Gamma}\|(1_{A_g}\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon-(1\otimes 1_{A_g})\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2 \tag{7.4}$$

The combination of 7.3 and 7.4 further implies that

$$\|\eta_\varepsilon-\eta_\varepsilon\circ(\theta\times\theta)\|_2^2\leqslant\sum_{g\in\Gamma}\|(1_{A_g}\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon-(1\otimes 1_{A_g})\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2,\ \text{ for all }\ \varepsilon>0. \tag{7.5}$$

Since $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_\infty=1$ by Claim 1, we can find ε_0 such that $\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_\infty\leqslant 2$, for all $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$. Let $v\in L^\infty(A)$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$. Then $\|(v\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2=\int_{A\times A}|v|^2\xi_\varepsilon\,\mathrm{d}\mu\leqslant 2\|v\|_2^2$. Since η_ε is symmetric, we get that $\|(1\otimes v)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2=\|(v\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2\leqslant 2\|v\|_2^2$. As a consequence, we have that

$$\|(v\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon-(1\otimes v)\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2\leqslant 8\|v\|_2^2,\ \text{ for all }\ v\in L^\infty(A)\ \text{ and every }\ \varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]. \tag{7.6}$$

Let $\delta>0$. Then we can find a finite set $F\subset\Gamma$ such that $\sum_{g\in\Gamma\setminus F}\mu(A_g)\leqslant\frac{\delta^2}{16}$. In combination with equation 7.6 we further get

$$\sum_{g\in\Gamma\setminus F}\|(1_{A_g}\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon-(1\otimes 1_{A_g})\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2\leqslant 8\sum_{g\in\Gamma\setminus F}\|1_{A_g}\|_2^2\leqslant\frac{\delta^2}{2},\ \text{ for all }\ \varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0]. \tag{7.7}$$

Next, by using condition (1), we can find $\varepsilon_1\in(0,\varepsilon_0]$ such that

$$\sum_{g\in F}\|(1_{A_g}\otimes 1)\eta_\varepsilon-(1\otimes 1_{A_g})\eta_\varepsilon\|_2^2\leqslant\frac{\delta^2}{2},\ \text{ for all }\ \varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_1]. \tag{7.8}$$

Finally, the combination of equations 7.5, 7.7 and 7.8 gives that $\|\eta_\varepsilon - \eta_\varepsilon \circ (\theta \times \theta)\|_2 \leqslant \delta$, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1]$. Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude that the net (η_ε) satisfies condition (2). ■

8. Proof of Theorem E

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem E. In fact, we prove the following more general and more precise version of Theorem E:

Theorem 8.1. *Let G be a connected l.c.s.c. with trivial center. Assume that there is a l.c.s.c. simply connected group $\widetilde{G}$ together with a continuous onto homomorphism $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$ such that $\ker(p) < \widetilde{G}$ is discrete. Let $\Sigma < G$ be a discrete subgroup and $\Gamma < G$ a countable dense subgroup. Assume that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Let $\bar{H}$ be a semisimple real algebraic group and denote $H = \bar{H}/Z(\bar{H})$. Let $\Delta < H$ be a discrete subgroup and $\Lambda < H$ a countable subgroup.*

Let $A \subset G/\Sigma$ and $B \subset H/\Delta$ be non-negligible measurable sets, and $\theta : A \to B$ be a nonsingular isomorphism such that $\theta(\Gamma x \cap A) = \Lambda\theta(x) \cap B$, for almost every $x \in A$.

Then we can find a Borel map $\phi : G/\Sigma \to \Lambda$, a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ and $h \in H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$, $\delta(\Sigma) = h\Delta h^{-1}$ and $\theta(x) = \phi(x)\delta(x)h\Delta$, for almost every $x \in A$.

Before proving Theorem 8.1, we establish the following elementary result:

Lemma 8.2. *Let G be a connected l.c.s.c. group, $\Gamma < G$ a countable subgroup and $\Lambda < G$ a discrete subgroup. If $\Gamma \cap \Lambda$ contains no non-trivial central element of G, then the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Lambda$ is free.*

Proof. Let $g \in \Gamma$ such that the set $\{x \in G | gx\Lambda = x\Lambda\}$ has positive measure. Since Λ is countable, we can find $h \in \Lambda$ such that the set $A = \{x \in G | gx = xh\}$ has positive measure. Since A has positive measure, AA^{-1} contains a neighborhood of $e \in G$. Since g commutes with AA^{-1} and G is connected, we get that g belongs to the center $Z(G)$ of G. Since $gx = xh$, for some $x \in G$, it follows that $g = h \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda \cap Z(G)$. Therefore, $g = e$, as claimed. ■

8.1. **Proof of Theorem 8.1.** Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G/\Sigma$ is ergodic, we may extend θ to a countable-to-1 map $\theta : G/\Sigma \to H/\Delta$ such that $\theta(\Gamma x) \subset \Lambda\theta(x)$, for almost every $x \in G/\Sigma$.

Denote by $\pi : G \to G/\Sigma$ the quotient. Then $\theta(\pi(gx)) \in \Lambda\theta(\pi(x))$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. Since H has trivial center, by Lemma 8.2, the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright H/\Delta$ is free. Thus, we can define a cocycle $W : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ through the formula $\theta(\pi(gx)) = W(g, x)\theta(\pi(x))$. The freeness of the Λ-action also implies that W factors through the map $\Gamma \times G \to \Gamma \times G/\Sigma$.

Let $r : H/\Delta \to H$ be a Borel map such that $r(x)\Delta = x$, for all $x \in H/\Delta$. Further, we define $\Theta : G \to H$ by letting $\Theta(x) = r(\theta(\pi(x)))$. Then for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G/\Sigma$ we have

$$\Theta(gx)\Delta = r(\theta(\pi(gx)))\Delta = \theta(\pi(gx)) = W(g, x)\theta(\pi(x)) = W(g, x)\Theta(x)\Delta.$$

We can therefore find a Borel map $v : \Gamma \times G \to \Delta$ such that

$$\Theta(gx) = W(g, x)\Theta(x)v(g, x), \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma \text{ and almost every } x \in G/\Sigma. \tag{8.1}$$

The core of the proof is divided in two parts.

The first part of the proof. In this part we analyze the cocycle W and show that there exists a Borel map $\alpha : G \to H$ such that $W(g, x) = \alpha(gx)\alpha(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

To this end, for a subset $S \subset H$, we denote by $C(S)$ its centralizer in H. We denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the set of pairs $(h, k) \in H \times H$ such that $C(\{h, k\}) = \{e\}$. Since H is a connected, semisimple real

Lie group, [Wi02, Theorem 4] implies that the subgroup generated by h and k is dense in H, for almost every $(h,k) \in H \times H$. Since H has trivial center, for any such pair (h,k) we have that $C(\{h,k\}) = \{e\}$. Altogether, we deduce that $\mathcal{A}$ is conull in $H \times H$.

Next, for $t \in G$, we define a Borel map $\rho_t : G \to H$ by letting $\rho_t(x) = \Theta(xt)\Theta(x)^{-1}$.

Claim 1. For almost every $(s,t) \in G \times G$ we have that $(\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)) \in \mathcal{A}$, for almost every $x \in G$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $x \in G$ and define $\mathcal{A}_x = \{(h\Theta(x), k\Theta(x)) | (h,k) \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is conull in $H \times H$, $\mathcal{A}_x$ is also conull in $H \times H$. Since $\theta : G/\Sigma \to H/\Delta$ is nonsingular, $\Theta : G \to H$ is also nonsingular: if $B \subset H$ satisfies $m_H(B) = 0$, then $m_G(\Theta^{-1}(B)) = 0$. By combining these two facts we conclude that the set $\{(a,b) \in G \times G | (\Theta(a), \Theta(b)) \in \mathcal{A}_x\}$ is conull. Further, we get that $\{(s,t) \in G \times G | (\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)) \in \mathcal{A}\} = \{(s,t) \in G \times G | (\Theta(xs), \Theta(xt)) \in \mathcal{A}_x\}$ is conull in $G \times G$. Since this holds for all $x \in G$, we derive that the set $\{(s,t,x) \in G \times G \times G | (\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is conull in $G \times G \times G$. The claim now follows by applying Fubini's theorem. □

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on G which is equivalent to m_G.

Claim 2. There exists a sequence of Borel maps $\alpha_n : G \to H$ such that for all $g \in \Gamma$ we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(\{x \in G | \alpha_n(gx) = W(g,x)\alpha_n(x)\}) = 1$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \subset \Gamma$ finite be arbitrary. In order to prove the claim, it suffices to find a Borel map $\alpha : G \to H$ such that $\mu(\{x \in G | \alpha(gx) = W(g,x)\alpha(x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$, for all $g \in F$.

Since μ is Γ-quasi-invariant, we can find $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{3})$ such that if a Borel set $B \subset G$ satisfies $\mu(B) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon_0$, then $\mu(g^{-1}B) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, for all $g \in F$. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic, Lemma 2.3 provides $\delta > 0$ and a finite set $S \subset \Gamma$ such that the following holds: if $\rho : G \to Y$ is a Borel map into a standard Borel space Y which satisfies $\mu(\{x \in G | \rho(gx) = \rho(x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \delta$, for all $g \in S$, then we can find $y \in Y$ such that $\mu(\{x \in G | \rho(x) = y\}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon_0$.

Now, let $t \in G$. Using equation 8.1, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$ we have

$$\rho_t(gx) = \Theta(gxt)\Theta(gx)^{-1} = W(g,xt)\Theta(xt)\ (v(g,xt)v(g,x)^{-1})\ \Theta(x)^{-1}W(g,x)^{-1}.$$

This implies that if $v(g,xt) = v(g,x)$ and $W(g,xt) = W(g,x)$, then $\rho_t(gx) = W(g,x)\rho_t(x)W(g,x)^{-1}$. Since v and W take values into countable groups, we have that $\mu(\{x \in G | v(g,xt) = v(g,x)\}) \to 1$ and $\mu(\{x \in G | W(g,xt) = W(g,x)\}) \to 1$, as $t \to e$. Altogether, we conclude that for all $g \in \Gamma$ we have $\mu(\{x \in G | \rho_t(gx) = W(g,x)\rho_t(x)W(g,x)^{-1}\}) \to 1$, as $t \to e$.

This last fact in combination with Claim 1 implies that we can find $s,t \in G$ such that the following five conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\mu(\{x \in G | \rho_s(gx) = W(g,x)\rho_s(x)W(g,x)^{-1}\}) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$, for all $g \in F$.
(2) $\mu(\{x \in G | \rho_s(gx) = W(g,x)\rho_s(x)W(g,x)^{-1}\}) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$, for all $g \in S$.
(3) $\mu(\{x \in G | \rho_t(gx) = W(g,x)\rho_t(x)W(g,x)^{-1}\}) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{6}$, for all $g \in F$.
(4) $\mu(\{x \in G | \rho_t(gx) = W(g,x)\rho_t(x)W(g,x)^{-1}\}) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\delta}{2}$, for all $g \in S$.
(5) $(\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)) \in \mathcal{A}$, for almost every $x \in G$.

Next, consider the "diagonal conjugation" action $\bar{H} \curvearrowright \bar{H} \times \bar{H}$ given by $h \cdot (k,l) = (hkh^{-1}, hlh^{-1})$, for all $h \in \bar{H}$ and $(k,l) \in \bar{H} \times \bar{H}$. Since $\bar{H}$ is a real algebraic group, this action is smooth by Theorem 2.13. Therefore, the quotient space $\bar{Y}$ is a standard Borel space. Analogously, consider the diagonal conjugation action $H \curvearrowright H \times H$ and denote by Y the quotient space. Since the left multiplication action of $Z(\bar{H}) \times Z(\bar{H})$ on $\bar{H} \times \bar{H}$ commutes with the diagonal conjugation action of $\bar{H}$, we have a well-defined left multiplication action $Z(\bar{H}) \times Z(\bar{H}) \curvearrowright \bar{Y}$. Then $Y \equiv \bar{Y}/(Z(\bar{H}) \times Z(\bar{H}))$ and we conclude that Y is a standard Borel space. We denote by $q : H \times H \to Y$ the quotient map.

By combining (2) and (4) we get that $\mu(\{x \in G | q(\rho_s(gx), \rho_t(gx)) = q(\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x))\}) \geqslant 1-\delta$, for all $g \in S$. Since Y is a standard Borel space we can apply the above consequence of strong ergodicity to the Borel map $G \ni x \to q(\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)) \in Y$. Thus, we get that there exists $y \in H \times H$ such that $\mu(\{x \in G | (\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)) \in H \cdot y\}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon_0$.

This fact and condition (5) imply that we can find $x_0 \in G$ such that $(\rho_s(x_0), \rho_t(x_0)) \in \mathcal{A} \cap H \cdot y$. Since $(\rho_s(x_0), \rho_t(x_0)) \in \mathcal{A}$, the stabilizer of $(\rho_s(x_0), \rho_t(x_0))$ in H is trivial. Thus, the stabilizer of y in H is trivial. Hence, we can identify the orbit $H \cdot y$ with H.

We define a Borel map $\alpha : G \to H$ by letting

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} (\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)), & \text{if } (\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x)) \in H \cdot y \\ e, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, fix $g \in F$. Let $C = \{x \in G | (\rho_s(gx), \rho_t(gx)) = W(g,x) \cdot (\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x))\}$. The combination of conditions (1) and (3) yields that $\mu(C) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Also, if $D = \{x \in G | \alpha(x) = (\rho_s(x), \rho_t(x))\}$, then $\mu(D) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon_0 > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Since $g \in F$, we get that $\mu(g^{-1}D) \geqslant 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, and hence $\mu(C \cap D \cap g^{-1}D) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$. If $x \in C \cap D \cap g^{-1}D$, then we clearly have that $\alpha(gx) = W(g,x)\alpha(x)$, and the claim is proven. $\square$

Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic, combining Claim 2 and Lemma 3.4 implies that we can find a Borel map $\alpha : G \to H$ such that $W(g,x) = \alpha(gx)\alpha(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. This finishes the first part of the proof.

The second part of the proof. Next, we use the conclusion of the first part to derive the theorem. This part relies on the following claim:

Claim 3. There exist a Borel map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$, a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$, and $y \in H/\Delta$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) \subset \Lambda$ and $\theta(\pi(x)) = \phi(x)\delta(x)y$, for almost every $x \in G$.

Proof of Claim 3. Denote $\widetilde{\Gamma} = p^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Since H is a connected real Lie group, we can find a simply connected l.c.s.c. group $\widetilde{H}$ together with a continuous onto homomorphisms $q : \widetilde{H} \to H$ such that $\ker(q) < \widetilde{H}$ is a discrete subgroup.

Let $\tilde{W} : \widetilde{\Gamma} \times \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ and $\tilde{\alpha} : \widetilde{G} \to H$ be given by $\tilde{W}(g,x) = W(p(g), p(x))$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(x) = \alpha(p(x))$. Then $\tilde{W}(g,x) = \tilde{\alpha}(gx)\tilde{\alpha}(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ and almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Since $\widetilde{G}$ is simply connected, by Corollary 3.3, we can find a Borel map $\phi : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ and a homomorphism $\rho : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Lambda$ such that

$$\tilde{W}(g,x) = \phi(gx)\rho(g)\phi(x)^{-1}, \text{ for all } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma} \text{ and almost every } x \in \widetilde{G}. \tag{8.2}$$

Define $\beta : \widetilde{G} \to H$ by letting $\beta(x) = \phi(x)^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}(x)$. Then we get that $\beta(gx) = \rho(g)\beta(x)$, for all $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ and almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. By Lemma 2.14, ρ extends to a continuous homomorphism $\rho : \widetilde{G} \to H$.

Our next goal is to show that ρ is onto. Define $\tilde{\theta} : \widetilde{G} \to H/\Delta$ by $\tilde{\theta}(x) = \theta(\pi(p(x)))$. Then $\tilde{\theta}(gx) = \tilde{W}(g,x)\tilde{\theta}(x)$, for all $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ and almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Thus, if $\hat{\theta} : \widetilde{G} \to H/\Delta$ is given by $\hat{\theta}(x) = \phi(x)^{-1}\tilde{\theta}(x)$, then 8.2 implies that

$$\hat{\theta}(gx) = \rho(g)\hat{\theta}(x), \text{ for all } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma} \text{ and almost every } x \in \widetilde{G}. \tag{8.3}$$

Since $\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G}$ is dense and $\rho : \widetilde{G} \to H$ is continuos, equation 8.3 holds for every $g \in \widetilde{G}$. By Fubini's theorem, we can find $x_0 \in H/\Delta$ such that $\hat{\theta}(gx_0) = \rho(g)\hat{\theta}(x_0)$, for almost every $g \in \widetilde{G}$. Thus, if $y = \rho(x_0)^{-1}\hat{\theta}(x_0)$, then $\hat{\theta}(g) = \rho(g)y$, for almost every $g \in \widetilde{G}$. From this we deduce that

$$\tilde{\theta}(x) = \phi(x)\rho(x)y, \text{ for almost every } x \in \widetilde{G}. \tag{8.4}$$

Since $\theta : A \to B$ is a nonsingular isomorphism, we get that $m_{H/\Delta}(\tilde{\theta}(\widetilde{G})) = m_{H/\Delta}(\theta(G/\Delta)) > 0$. Since Λ and Δ are countable, by using 8.4, it follows that $m_H(\rho(\widetilde{G})) > 0$. This implies that $\rho(\widetilde{G}) < H$ is an open subgroup. Since H is connected, we conclude that $\rho(\widetilde{G}) = H$, hence ρ is onto.

Next, let us show that $\ker(\rho)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\widetilde{G}$. To this end, let $g_n \in \ker(\rho)$ be a sequence which converges to the identity. Since $\phi(\widetilde{G}) \subset \Lambda$ and Λ is countable, there exists $N \geqslant 1$ such that $m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x \in \widetilde{G} | \phi(g_n x) = \phi(x) \text{ and } \pi(p(x)), \pi(p(g_n x)) \in A\}) > 0$, for all $n \geqslant N$. By using 8.4 we further get that $m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x \in \widetilde{G} | \tilde{\theta}(g_n x) = \tilde{\theta}(x) \text{ and } \pi(p(x)), \pi(p(g_n x)) \in A\}) > 0$, for all $n \geqslant N$. Since θ is 1-1 on A, we get that $m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x \in \widetilde{G} | p(g_n)\pi(p(x)) = \pi(p(g_n x)) = \pi(p(x))\}) > 0$, for all $n \geqslant N$. Thus, $p(g_n)$ does not act freely on G/Σ, hence by Lemma 8.2 we get that $p(g_n) = e$, for all $n \geqslant N$. Since $\ker(p)$ is discrete, we deduce that $g_n = e$, for n large enough.

Altogether, we have shown that $\rho : \widetilde{G} \to H$ is an onto continuous homomorphism with discrete kernel, hence $\widetilde{G}$ is a covering group of H. The uniqueness of covering groups implies that we can find a topological isomorphism $\tau : \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H}$ such that $\rho = q \circ \tau$. Since G and H have trivial center, we get that $\ker(p) = Z(\widetilde{G})$ and $\ker(q) = Z(\widetilde{H})$ and hence $\ker(\rho) = \tau^{-1}(\ker(p)) = \tau^{-1}(Z(\widetilde{H})) = Z(\widetilde{G})$. Therefore, ρ descends to a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$. Since $\rho(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \subset \Lambda$, we have $\delta(\Gamma) \subset \Lambda$.

Finally, let $\sigma \in \ker(p)$. Then $\rho(\sigma) = e$ and $\tilde{\theta}(x\sigma) = \tilde{\theta}(x)$, for all $x \in \widetilde{G}$. By using 8.4 we deduce that $\phi(x\sigma)\rho(x)y = \phi(x)\rho(x)y$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Since $\phi(x), \phi(x\sigma) \in \Lambda$ and Λ is countable, Lemma 8.2 implies that $\phi(x\sigma) = \phi(x)$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Therefore, $\phi : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ descends to a map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$. Together with equation 8.4, this proves the claim. □

Claim 4. $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ factors through the quotient $\pi : G \to G/\Sigma$.

Proof of Claim 4. Since $\phi : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ factors through the map $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$ by the previous claim, equation 8.2 rewrites as $W(g, x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Since W factors through the map $\Gamma \times G \to \Gamma \times G/\Sigma$, we get that

$$\phi(gx\sigma)\delta(g)\phi(x\sigma)^{-1} = W(g, x\sigma) = W(g, x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}.$$

Hence, if $\Phi : G \to \Lambda$ is given by $\Phi(x) = \phi(x)^{-1}\phi(x\sigma)$, then $\Phi(gx) = \delta(g)\Phi(x)\delta(g)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. Since $\delta : G \to H$ is continuous, it follows that $\Phi(gx) = \delta(g)\Phi(x)\delta(g)^{-1}$, for all $g \in G$ and almost every $x \in G$. By Fubini's theorem, we can find $x_0 \in G$ such that $\Phi(gx_0) = \delta(g)\Phi(x_0)\delta(g)^{-1}$, for almost every $g \in G$. Thus, if we let $k = \delta(x_0)^{-1}\Phi(x_0)\delta(x_0)$, then $\Phi(g) = \delta(g)k\delta(g)^{-1}$, for almost every $g \in G$.

Since Λ is countable, we can find $l \in \Lambda$ such that $C = \{g \in G | \Phi(g) = l \text{ and } \Phi(g) = \delta(g)k\delta(g)^{-1}\}$ satisfies $m_G(C) > 0$. Note that k commutes with $\delta(C^{-1}C)$. Since $m_G(C) > 0$ and δ is onto, we get that $m_H(\delta(C)) > 0$ and hence $m_H(\delta(C^{-1}C)) > 0$. Combining the last two facts we derive that the centralizer of k in H has positive measure, hence is an open subgroup of H. Since H is connected and has trivial center, we get that $k = e$. This implies that $\Phi(x) = e$, for almost every $x \in G$. Thus, $\phi(x\sigma) = \phi(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$. Since $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is arbitrary, this proves the claim. □

Let $h \in H$ such that $y = h\Delta$. We end the proof of Theorem E by showing the following:

Claim 5. $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$ and $\delta(\Sigma) = h\Delta h^{-1}$.

Proof of Claim 5. By Claim 3, we have that $\delta(\Gamma) \subset \Lambda$. To show the reverse inclusion, let $g \in G$ such that $\delta(g) \in \Lambda$. By Claim 3 we also have that

$$\theta(\pi(gx)) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\delta(x)y \in \Lambda\delta(x)y = \Lambda\phi(x)\delta(x)y = \Lambda\theta(\pi(x)), \text{ for almost every } x \in G.$$

Thus, $\theta(gx) \in \Lambda\theta(x)$, for almost every $x \in G/\Sigma$. Since $\theta : A \to B$ is a stable orbit equivalence, we deduce that $gx \in \Gamma x$, for almost every $x \in G$, hence $g \in \Gamma$. This proves that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$.

Next, we show that $\delta(\Sigma) \subset h\Delta h^{-1}$. For this, let $\sigma \in \Sigma$. By Claim 4 we have that $\phi(x\sigma) = \phi(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$. By using Claim 3 we get that $\theta(\pi(x\sigma)) = \phi(x\sigma)\delta(x\sigma)h\Delta = \phi(x)\delta(x)\delta(\sigma)h\Delta$, for almost every $x \in G$. Since $\theta(\pi(x\sigma)) = \theta(\pi(x)) = \phi(x)\delta(x)h\Delta$, for almost every $x \in G$, we deduce that $\delta(\sigma)h\Delta = h\Delta$. Thus, $\delta(\sigma) \in h\Delta h^{-1}$, as desired.

Finally, we prove that $\delta^{-1}(h\Delta h^{-1}) \subset \Sigma$. To see this, let $g \in G$ such that $\delta(g) \in h\Delta h^{-1}$. By using Claim 3 we get that $\theta(\pi(xg)) = \phi(xg)\delta(xg)h\Delta = \phi(xg)\delta(x)h\Delta = \phi(xg)\phi(x)^{-1}\theta(\pi(x)) \in \Lambda\theta(\pi(x))$, for almost every $x \in G$. Equivalently, we have that $\theta(\pi(xg)) \in \Lambda\theta(\pi(x))$, for almost every $x \in G$. Since $\theta : A \to B$ is an orbit equivalence, we conclude that $\pi(xg) \in \Gamma\pi(x)$, or equivalently, that $xg \in \Gamma x\Sigma$, for almost every $x \in G$. Since Γ and Σ are countable, we can find $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $C = \{x \in G | xg = \gamma x\sigma\}$ satisfies $m_G(C) > 0$. It is clear that $g\sigma^{-1}$ commutes with $C^{-1}C$. Thus, the centralizer of $g\sigma^{-1}$ in G has positive measure, hence is an open subgroup of G. Since G is connected and has trivial center we conclude that $g\sigma^{-1} = e$. Hence, $g = \sigma \in \Sigma$, as claimed. □

This finishes the proof of Theorem 8.1. ■

9. Proof of Theorem F

In this section we prove Theorem F, in the following more precise form.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $\bar{G}$, $\bar{H}$ be connected real algebraic groups and $\bar{K} < \bar{G}$, $\bar{L} < \bar{H}$ be $\mathbb{R}$-subgroups such that $\cap_{g\in\bar{G}} g\bar{K}g^{-1} = Z(\bar{G})$ and $\cap_{h\in\bar{H}} h\bar{L}h^{-1} = Z(\bar{H})$. Denote $G = \bar{G}/Z(\bar{G})$, $K = \bar{K}/Z(\bar{G})$, $H = \bar{H}/Z(\bar{H})$ and $L = \bar{L}/Z(\bar{H})$. Suppose that K and L are connected.*

Let $\Gamma < G$, $\Lambda < H$ be countable dense subgroups such that the translation actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (H, m_H)$ are strongly ergodic.

Let $A \subset G/K$ and $B \subset H/L$ be non-negligible measurable sets and $\theta : A \to B$ be a nonsingular isomorphism such that $\theta(\Gamma x \cap A) = \Lambda\theta(x) \cap B$, for almost every $x \in A$.

Then we can find a Borel map $\phi : G/K \to \Lambda$, a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ and $h \in H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$, $\delta(K) = hLh^{-1}$ and $\theta(x) = \phi(x)\delta(x)hL$, for almost every $x \in A$.

Proof. We begin by recording a fact which we will use repeatedly. If $g \in \bar{G} \setminus Z(\bar{G})$, then Lemma 2.11 gives that the set of $x \in \bar{G}$ such that $gx\bar{K} = x\bar{K}$ has measure zero. This implies the following:

Fact. If $g \in G \setminus \{e\}$, then the set of $x \in G$ such that $gxK = xK$ has measure zero. Similarly, if $h \in H \setminus \{e\}$, then the set of $y \in H$ such that $hyL = yL$ has measure zero.

As the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G/K$ is ergodic, we may extend θ to a countable-to-1 map $\theta : G/K \to H/L$ such that $\theta(\Gamma x) \subset \Lambda\theta(x)$, for almost every $x \in G/K$. Since the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright H/L$ ergodic, we may assume that $B \subset H/L$ is in fact an open set.

The above fact implies that the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright H/L$ is free and therefore we can define a cocycle $w : \Gamma \times G/K \to \Lambda$ by the formula $\theta(gx) = w(g, x)\theta(x)$. Let $\pi : G \to G/K$ denote the quotient. We define $\Theta : G \to H/L$ and $W : \Gamma \times G \to \Lambda$ by $\Theta(x) = \theta(\pi(x))$ and $W(g, x) = w(g, \pi(x))$. Note that $\Theta(gx) = W(g, x)\Theta(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

The first part of the proof. In the first part of the proof we show that there exists a Borel map $\alpha : G \to H$ such that $W(g, x) = \alpha(gx)\alpha(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. To this end, we follow closely the proof of [Io13, Theorem 4.4].

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on G which is equivalent to m_G.

Claim 1. There exists a sequence of Borel maps $\alpha_n : G \to H$ such that for all $g \in \Gamma$ we have that $\mu(\{x \in G |\ W(g, x) = \alpha_n(gx)\alpha_n(x)^{-1}\}) \to 1$, as $n \to \infty$.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \subset \Gamma$ be finite. Since $\bar{L} < \bar{H}$ are real algebraic groups and $\cap_{h\in\bar{H}} h\bar{L}h^{-1} = Z(\bar{H})$, Lemma 2.11 provides $m \geqslant 1$, an $\bar{H}$-invariant open conull set $\Omega \subset (\bar{H}/\bar{L})^m$ and a Borel map $\tau : \Omega \to H = \bar{H}/Z(\bar{H})$ such that $\tau(hx) = h\tau(x)$, for all $h \in \bar{H}$ and $x \in \Omega$. Thus, if we identify $\bar{H}/\bar{L}$ with H/L, then we can view Ω as an H-invariant open conull subset of $(H/L)^m$ which admits an H-equivariant Borel map $\tau : \Omega \to H$.

Since W takes values into a countable group, there exists a neighborhood V_0 of $e \in G$ such that

$$\mu(\{x \in G|\ W(g, xt) = W(g, x)\}) \geqslant 1 - m^{-1}\varepsilon, \text{ for all } g \in F \text{ and every } t \in V_0. \tag{9.1}$$

Now, since $\theta : G/K \to H/L$ is a nonsingular map and $\Omega \subset (H/L)^m$ is conull, we derive that the set $\{(x_1, .., x_m) \in (G/K)^m|\ (\theta(x_1), ..., \theta(x_m)) \in \Omega\}$ is conull in $(G/K)^m$. Equivalently, we get that the set $\{(x_1, ..., x_m) \in G^m|\ (\Theta(x_1), ..., \Theta(x_m)) \in \Omega\}$ is conull in G^m. Fubini's theorem implies that for almost every $(t_1, ..., t_m) \in G^m$, the set $\{x \in G|\ (\Theta(xt_1), ..., \Theta(xt_m)) \in \Omega\}$ is conull in G.

In particular, we can find $t_1, ..., t_m \in V_0$ such that the set $\{x \in G|\ (\Theta(xt_1), ..., \Theta(xt_m)) \in \Omega\}$ is conull in G. We define $\psi : G \to (H/L)^m$ by letting $\psi(x) = (\Theta(xt_1), ..., \Theta(xt_m))$. Further, we define C to be the set of $x \in G$ satisfying the following three conditions:

- $\psi(x) \in \Omega$ and $\psi(gx) \in \Omega$, for all $g \in F$,
- $\Theta(gxt_i) = w(g, xt_i)\Theta(xt_i)$, for all $g \in F$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, and
- $W(g, x) = W(g, xt_i)$, for all $g \in F$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

Since $\psi(x) \in \Omega$ and $\Theta(gx) = W(g, x)\Theta(x)$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$, equation 9.1 (applied to $t_1, ..., t_m \in V_0$) implies that $\mu(C) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$.

Finally, we define $\alpha : G \to H$ by letting $\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \tau(\psi(x)), & \text{if } \psi(x) \in \Omega \\ e, & \text{if } \psi(x) \notin \Omega \end{cases}$.

Then for every $x \in C$ and $g \in F$ we have that

$$\alpha(gx) = \tau(\psi(gx)) = \tau(\Theta(gxt_1), ..., \Theta(gxt_m)) = \tau(W(g, xt_1)\Theta(xt_1), ..., W(g, xt_m)\Theta(xt_m)) =$$
$$\tau(W(g, x)\Theta(xt_1), ..., W(g, x)\Theta(xt_m)) = W(g, x)\tau(\Theta(xt_1), ..., \Theta(xt_m)) = W(g, x)\alpha(x).$$

Thus, $\alpha : G \to H$ is a Borel map which satisfies $\mu(\{x \in G|\alpha(gx) = W(g, x)\alpha(x)\}) \geqslant 1 - \varepsilon$, for all $g \in F$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ and the finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$ are arbitrary, the claim follows. □

Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is strongly ergodic, Claim 1 and Lemma 3.4 imply that we can find a Borel map $\alpha : G \to H$ such that $W(g, x) = \alpha(gx)\alpha(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$.

The second part of the proof. In the second part of proof we obtain the conclusion by using a strategy similar to the one employed in the second part of the proof of Theorem 8.1.

We start by adapting part of the proof of Theorem 8.1 to our present context. Since G, H are connected real algebraic groups, we can find simply connected l.c.s.c. groups $\widetilde{G}$, $\widetilde{H}$ together with continuous onto homomorphisms $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$, $q : \widetilde{H} \to H$ such that $\ker(p) < \widetilde{G}$, $\ker(q) < \widetilde{H}$ are discrete subgroups. Denote $\widetilde{\Gamma} = p^{-1}(\Gamma)$.

Let $\tilde{W} : \widetilde{\Gamma} \times \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ and $\tilde{\alpha} : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ be given by $\tilde{W}(g, x) = W(p(g), p(x))$ and $\tilde{\alpha}(x) = \alpha(p(x))$. Then $\tilde{W}(g, x) = \tilde{\alpha}(gx)\tilde{\alpha}(x)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ and almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Since $\widetilde{G}$ is simply connected, Corollary 3.3 yields a Borel map $\phi : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ and a homomorphism $\rho : \widetilde{\Gamma} \to \Lambda$ such that

$$\tilde{W}(g, x) = \phi(gx)\rho(g)\phi(x)^{-1}, \text{ for all } g \in \widetilde{\Gamma} \text{ and almost every } x \in \widetilde{G}. \tag{9.2}$$

Define $\beta : \widetilde{G} \to H$ by letting $\beta(x) = \phi(x)^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}(x)$. Then we get that $\beta(gx) = \rho(g)\beta(x)$, for all $g \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and almost every $x \in \tilde{G}$. By Lemma 2.14, ρ extends to a continuous homomorphism $\rho : \widetilde{G} \to H$.

Define $\tilde{\theta} : \widetilde{G} \to H/L$ by letting $\tilde{\theta}(x) = \theta(\pi(p(x)))$. By using Fubini's theorem as in the proof of Claim 3 in the proof of Theorem 8.1, we find $z \in H/L$ such that

$$\tilde{\theta}(x) = \phi(x)\rho(x)z, \text{ for almost every } x \in G. \tag{9.3}$$

We are now ready to prove the following claim, which is the core of the second part of the proof:

Claim 2. ρ is onto, $\rho(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \Lambda$, and $\ker(\rho) < \widetilde{G}$ is discrete.

Proof of Claim 2. Since ρ is continuous and ϕ takes countably many values, equation 9.2 implies that $\lim_{g\in\widetilde{\Gamma}, g\to e} \tilde{W}(g,x) = e$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. This further implies that $\lim_{g\in\Gamma, g\to e} w(g,x) = e$, for almost every $x \in G/K$. Recall that $\theta : A \to B$ satisfies $\theta(\Gamma x \cap A) = \Lambda\theta(x) \cap B$, for almost every $x \in A$. Thus, if $x \in A$, then since B is open we get that $h\theta(x) \in B$, for all $h \in \Lambda$ which are close enough to $e \in H$. Thus, for all $h \in \Lambda$ close enough to $e \in H$ there is $v(h,x) \in \Lambda$ such that $h\theta(x) = \theta(v(h,x)x)$. By repeating the above, with the roles of the two actions reversed (note that the assumptions are symmetric), we get that $\lim_{h\in\Lambda, h\to e} v(h,x) = e$, for almost every $x \in A$.

Let $D \subset \widetilde{G}$ be a non-negligible compact set such that $D_0 := \pi(p(D)) \subset A$ and ϕ is constant on D. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\phi(y) = \lambda$, for all $y \in D$. Note that if $y \in D$, then $\tilde{\theta}(y) = \theta(\pi(p(y))) \in \theta(A) = B$. Since B is open, it follows that $\lim_{h\in H, h\to e} m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{y \in D |\ h\tilde{\theta}(y) \in B\}) = m_{\widetilde{G}}(D)$.

Let $y \in D$ and $h \in \Lambda$ such that $h\tilde{\theta}(y) \in B$. Denote $x = \pi(p(y))$. Since $h\theta(x) = h\tilde{\theta}(y) \in B$, by the first paragraph there exists $v(h,x) \in \Gamma$ such that $h\theta(x) = \theta(v(h,x)x)$. Let $\omega(h,y) \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ such that $p(\omega(h,y)) = v(h,x)$. Since $\lim_{h\in\Lambda, h\to e} v(h,x) = e$ and $\ker(p) < \widetilde{G}$ is discrete, we may choose $\omega(h,y)$ such that $\lim_{h\in\Lambda, h\to e} \omega(h,y) = e$. Then $h\tilde{\theta}(y) = h\theta(x) = \theta(v(h,x)x) = \tilde{\theta}(\omega(h,y)y)$ and 9.3 gives that

$$h\phi(y)\ \rho(y)z = h\tilde{\theta}(y) = \tilde{\theta}(\omega(h,y)y) = \phi(\omega(h,y)y)\rho(\omega(h,y)y)z = \phi(\omega(h,y)y)\ \rho(\omega(h,y))\rho(y)z.$$

Since $h\phi(y), \phi(\omega(h,y)y)\rho(\omega(h,y)) \in \Lambda$, Λ is countable and acts freely on H/L, and $\rho(D)z \subset H/L$ is non-negligible, we conclude that $h\phi(y) = \phi(\omega(h,y)y)\rho(\omega(h,y))$, whenever $h\tilde{\theta}(y) \in B$.

Next, since $\lim_{h\in H, h\to e} m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{y \in D |\ h\tilde{\theta}(y) \in B\}) = m_{\widetilde{G}}(D)$ and $\lim_{h\in\Lambda, h\to e} \omega(h,y) = e$, we derive that

$$\lim_{h\in\Lambda, h\to e} m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{y \in D |\ h\tilde{\theta}(y) \in B \text{ and } \omega(h,y)y \in D\}) = m_{\widetilde{G}}(D).$$

In particular, we can find a neighborhood V_1 of $e \in H$ such that for every $h \in \Lambda \cap V_1$, there is $y \in D$ such that $h\tilde{\theta}(y) \in B$ and $\omega(h,y)y \in D$. Since $\phi(y) = \phi(\omega(h,y)) = \lambda$ (as $\phi \equiv \lambda$ on D), we get that $h\lambda = \lambda\rho(\omega(h,y))$ and $\omega(h,y) \in Dy^{-1} \subset DD^{-1}$. Hence $h \in \lambda\rho(\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap DD^{-1})\lambda^{-1}$. Since $h \in \Lambda \cap V_1$ is arbitrary, we deduce that $\lambda^{-1}(\Lambda \cap V_1)\lambda \subset \rho(\widetilde{\Gamma} \cap DD^{-1})$.

Since $\Lambda < H$ is dense and H is connected, $\Lambda \cap V_1$ generates Λ. We deduce that $\Lambda = \lambda^{-1}\Lambda\lambda \subset \rho(\widetilde{\Gamma})$. Since $\rho(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \subset \Lambda$, we conclude that $\rho(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \Lambda$. Moreover, since $\Lambda < H$ is dense, ρ is continuous, and DD^{-1} is compact, we get that $\lambda^{-1}V_1\lambda \subset \rho(DD^{-1})$. Since H is connected, V_1 generates H, and it follows that ρ is onto.

Finally, to see that $\ker(\rho)$ is discrete, let $g_n \in \ker(\rho)$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = e$. Since ϕ takes countably many values, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x \in D |\ \phi(g_n x) = \phi(x)\}) = m_{\widetilde{G}}(D)$. By using equation 9.3 we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x \in D |\ \tilde{\theta}(g_n x) = \tilde{\theta}(x)\}) = m_{\widetilde{G}}(D)$. Since $D_0 \subset G/H$ is compact and $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(g_n) = e$, we also have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_{G/K}(\{x \in D_0 |\ p(g_n)x \in D_0)\}) = m_{G/K}(D_0)$. Since π and p are nonsingular, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_{\widetilde{G}}(\{x \in D |\ \pi(p(g_n x)) = p(g_n)\pi(p(x)) \in D_0\}) = m_{\widetilde{G}}(D)$.

Altogether, the set of $x \in D$ satisfying $\pi(p(g_n)x)) \in D_0$ and $\tilde{\theta}(g_n x) = \tilde{\theta}(x)$ is non-negligible, for large enough n. Since θ is 1-1 on $D_0 \subset A$, we get that $p(g_n)\pi(p(x)) = \pi(p(g_n x)) = \pi(p(x))$, for all such $x \in D$. By using the fact from the beginning of the proof, we conclude that $p(g_n) = e$, for large enough n. Since $\ker(p) < \widetilde{G}$ is discrete, we must have that $g_n = e$, for large enough n. □

The rest of the proof is divided between the following three claims.

Claim 3. The map $\phi : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ factors through $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$ and there exists a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$ such that $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$ and $\theta(\pi(x)) = \phi(x)\delta(x)z$, for almost every $x \in G$.

Proof of Claim 3. By Claim 2, $\rho : \widetilde{G} \to H$ is an onto continuous homomorphism with discrete kernel, hence $\widetilde{G}$ is a covering group of H. The uniqueness of covering groups implies that we can find a topological isomorphism $\tau : \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H}$ such that $\rho = q \circ \tau$. Since G and H have trivial center, we get that $\ker(p) = Z(\widetilde{G})$ and $\ker(q) = Z(\widetilde{H})$ and hence $\ker(\rho) = \tau^{-1}(\ker(p)) = \tau^{-1}(Z(\widetilde{H})) = Z(\widetilde{G})$. Therefore, ρ descends to a topological isomorphism $\delta : G \to H$. Since $\rho(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \Lambda$, we have $\delta(\Gamma) = \Lambda$.

Let $\sigma \in \ker(p)$. Then $\rho(\sigma) = e$ and $\tilde{\theta}(x\sigma) = \tilde{\theta}(x)$, for all $x \in \widetilde{G}$. By using 9.3 we deduce that $\phi(x\sigma)\rho(x)z = \phi(x)\rho(x)z$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Since $\phi(x), \phi(x\sigma) \in \Lambda$ and the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright H/L$ is free, we get that $\phi(x\sigma) = \phi(x)$, for almost every $x \in \widetilde{G}$. Therefore, $\phi : \widetilde{G} \to \Lambda$ descends to a map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$. Together with equation 9.3, this proves the claim. □

Claim 4. The map $\phi : G \to \Lambda$ factors through $\pi : G \to G/K$.

Proof of Claim 4. Since ϕ factors through $p : \widetilde{G} \to G$ by Claim 3, equation 9.2 can be rewritten as $W(g, x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(g)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. Thus, if $k \in K$, then we have that $\phi(gxk)\delta(g)\phi(xk)^{-1} = W(g, xk) = W(g, x) = \phi(gx)\delta(g)\phi(x)^{-1}$.

Hence, if we define $\lambda_k(x) = \phi(x)^{-1}\phi(xk)$, then $\lambda_k(gx) = \delta(g)\lambda(x)\delta(g)^{-1}$, for all $g \in \Gamma$ and almost every $x \in G$. This implies that $C_k = \{x \in G | \lambda_k(x) = e\}$ is Γ-invariant, for every $k \in K$. Since Λ is countable we can find a neighborhood V_2 of $e \in G$ such that $m_G(C_k) > 0$, for all $k \in K \cap V_2$. Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ is ergodic, we deduce that $C_k = G$, almost everywhere, for every $k \in K \cap V_2$. Thus, the set K_0 of $k \in K$ such that $C_k = G$, almost everywhere, is an open subgroup of K. Since K is connected, we conclude that $K_0 = K$. This clearly implies the claim. □

Let $h \in H$ such that $z = hL$.

Claim 5. $\delta(K) = hLh^{-1}$.

Proof of Claim 5. Let $k \in K$. Then by Claim 4, for almost every $x \in G$ we have $\phi(xk) = \phi(x)$, hence $\phi(x)\delta(x)yL = \theta(x) = \theta(xk) = \phi(x)\delta(xk)yL$. Thus, $\delta(k)yL = yL$ and therefore $\delta(k) \in yLy^{-1}$.

To show the reverse inclusion, let $g_n \in \delta^{-1}(hLh^{-1})$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = e$. We claim that $g_n \in K$, for n large enough. Indeed, the set of $x \in \pi^{-1}(A)$ such that $\phi(g_n x) = \phi(x)$ and $g_n x \in \pi^{-1}(A)$ is non-negligible, for large enough n. Since $\delta(g_n)yL = yL$, by Claim 3 for almost every such x we have that $\theta(xg_nK) = \phi(xg_n)\delta(x)\delta(g_n)yL = \phi(x)\delta(x)yL = \theta(xK)$. Since $xK, xg_nK \in A$ and θ is 1-1 on A, we get that $xg_nK = xK$ and hence $g_n \in K$.

The previous paragraph implies that there exists a neighborhood V_3 of $e \in G$ such that we have $\delta^{-1}(hLh^{-1}) \cap V_3 \subset K$. Since $\delta(K) \subset hLh^{-1}$, we derive that $K \subset \delta^{-1}(hLh^{-1})$ is an open subgroup. Since L is connected, we get that $K = \delta^{-1}(hLh^{-1})$ and thus $\delta(K) = hLh^{-1}$. ■

10. Proofs of Propositions G and H

10.1. **Proof of Proposition G.** Let α denote the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G_1, m_{G_1})$ given by $gx = p(g)x$.

(1) In order to show that α is strongly ergodic, by Lemma 2.6 it suffices to show that the induced action $G \curvearrowright^{\tilde{\alpha}} (G/\Gamma \times G_1, m_{G/\Gamma} \times m_{G_1})$ is strongly ergodic. Note that α is the restriction to Γ of the action $G \curvearrowright (G_1, m_{G_1})$ given by $gx = p(g)x$, for all $g \in G, x \in G_1$. It is well-known (see e.g. [Zi84, Proposition 4.2.22]) that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is isomorphic to the product action $G \curvearrowright^{\beta} (G/\Gamma \times G_1, m_{G/\Gamma} \times m_{G_1})$ given by $g(x, y) = (gx, p(g)y)$, for all $g \in G, x \in G/\Gamma$ and $y \in G_1$.

To show that β (and hence $\tilde{\alpha}$) is strongly ergodic, fix a Borel probability measure μ on G_1 which is equivalent to m_{G_1}. Then $\tilde{\mu} = m_{G/\Gamma} \times \mu$ is a Borel probability measure on $G/\Gamma \times G_1$ which is equivalent to $m_{G/\Gamma} \times m_{G_1}$. Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable subsets of $G/\Gamma \times G_1$ satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{g\in K} \tilde{\mu}(gA_n \Delta A_n) = 0, \text{ for every compact set } K \subset G. \tag{10.1}$$

Next, recall that the representation $\rho : G_2 \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(G/\Gamma, m_{G/\Gamma}) \ominus \mathbb{C}1)$ has spectral gap. Note that the restriction of β to G_2 preserves $\tilde{\mu}$. Let $\pi : G_2 \to \mathcal{U}(L^2(G/\Gamma \times G_1, \tilde{\mu}))$ be the associated Koopman representation. Then $\pi(G_2)$ acts trivially on the subspace $L^2(G_1, \mu) \subset L^2(G/\Gamma \times G_1, \tilde{\mu})$. Moreover, the restriction of π to $L^2(G/\Gamma \times G_1, \tilde{\mu}) \ominus L^2(G_1, \mu)$ is unitarily equivalent to $\oplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho$ and therefore has spectral gap. We denote by $P : L^2(G/\Gamma \times G_1, \tilde{\mu}) \to L^2(G_1, \mu)$ the orthogonal projection.

Finally, equation 10.1 gives that we have $\sup_{g\in K} \|\pi(g)(1_{A_n}) - 1_{A_n}\|_{L^2(\tilde{\mu})} \to 0$, for every compact set $K \subset G_2$. By using the spectral gap property described in the previous paragraph we deduce that $\|1_{A_n} - P(1_{A_n})\|_{L^2(\tilde{\mu})} \to 0$. This easily implies that there exists a sequence $\{B_n\}$ of measurable subsets of G_1 such that $\tilde{\mu}(A_n \Delta (G/\Gamma \times B_n)) \to 0$. In combination with 10.1 this further implies that $\sup_{g\in K} \mu(gB_n \Delta B_n) \to 0$, for every compact set $K \subset G_1$. Since the action $G_1 \curvearrowright (G_1, m_{G_1})$ is strongly ergodic by Lemma 2.5, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{\mu}(A_n)(1 - \tilde{\mu}(A_n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(B_n)(1 - \mu(B_n)) = 0$.

(2) Since G_2 has property (T), by [Zi81, Proposition 2.4] the action $G_2 \curvearrowright (G/\Gamma, m_{G/\Gamma})$ has property (T). Applying [PV08, Proposition 3.5] gives that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/G_2, m_{G/G_2})$ has property (T). Since the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G/G_2, m_{G/G_2})$ is isomorphic to α, we conclude that α has property (T). ■

10.2. **Proof of Proposition H.** Let ν be a Borel probability measure of $X := K\backslash G$ which is quasi-invariant under the right G-action. Let $\pi : X \to G$ be a Borel map satisfying $K\pi(x) = x$, for all $x \in X$. The map $\theta : K \times X \to G$ defined by $\theta(k, x) = k\pi(x)$ is a K-equivariant Borel isomorphism (where we consider the action $K \curvearrowright K \times X$ given by $k(k'x) = (kk', x)$). We identify G with $K \times X$ via θ. Since the push-forward of $m_K \times \nu$ through θ is equivalent to m_G, we may view $\mu = m_K \times \nu$ as a probability measure on G which is equivalent to m_G.

Assume that the action $\Gamma \cap K \curvearrowright (K, m_K)$ has spectral gap. Our goal is to show that the action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic. Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of measurable subsets of G such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(gA_n \Delta A_n) = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma$. For all n and $x \in X$, let $A_n^x = \{k \in K | (k, x) \in A_n\}$.

Then $\mu(gA_n \cap A_n) = \int_X m_K(gA_n^x \Delta A_n^x)\, d\nu(x)$, for all n and $g \in K$. Thus, after replacing $\{A_n\}$ with a subsequence, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_K(gA_n^x \Delta A_n^x) = 0$, for all $g \in \Gamma \cap K$ and almost every $x \in X$.

Since the action $\Gamma \cap K \curvearrowright (K, m_K)$ has spectral gap, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} m_K(A_n^x)(1 - m_K(A_n^x)) = 0$, for almost every $x \in X$. Further, this implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{g\in K} \mu(gA_n \Delta A_n) = 0. \tag{10.2}$$

Next, we need the following result whose proof we postpone until the end of this section.

Lemma 10.1. *Let $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $K = SO_n(\mathbb{R})$ for some $n \geqslant 2$. Let $g \in G \setminus K$.*

Then the group generated by g and K is equal to G. Moreover, if $Y \subset G$ is a compact set, then we can find $m \geqslant 1$ and $g_1, ..., g_m \in \{g, g^{-1}\}$ such that $Y \subset g_1 K g_2 ... g_{m-1} K g_m$.

Remark 10.2. Lemma 10.1 implies that if $g \in G \setminus K$, then there exists $N \geqslant 1$ such that the compact groups $\{g^n K g^{-n}\}_{|n| \leqslant N}$ generate G. I am grateful to Alireza Salehi-Golsefidy for pointing out to me that the following general result holds: if G is a semisimple algebraic group of real rank r over a local field k, then G is generated by $r+1$ compact subgroups.

Going back to the proof of Proposition H, let $g \in \Gamma \setminus K$ and $Y \subset G$ be a compact set. By Lemma 10.1 we can find $g_1, ..., g_m \in \{g, g^{-1}\}$ such that $Y \subset g_1 K g_2 ... g_{m-1} K g_m$. Since $g \in \Gamma$ we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(g A_n \Delta A_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(g^{-1} A_n \Delta A_n) = 0$. In combination with equation 10.2, these facts imply that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{g\in Y} \mu(g A_n \Delta A_n) = 0$. Since $Y \subset G$ is an arbitrary compact set and the action $G \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic by Lemma 2.5, we get that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(A_n)(1 - \mu(A_n)) = 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{A_n\}$ is trivial. ∎

10.3. **Proof of Lemma 10.1.** We denote by $\mathrm{diag}(x_1, ..., x_n)$ the diagonal $n \times n$ matrix whose diagonal entries are $x_1, ..., x_n$. We also denote by $Tr : \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ the usual trace.

Let H be the group generated by g and K. Let $A < G$ be the subgroup of positive diagonal matrices. Write $g = k_1 d k_2$, where $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $d \in A$. Write $d = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$. Since $g \notin K$ we have that $d \neq I$, hence not all the λ_i's are equal. We assume for simplicity that $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

Let us first show that $H = G$ in the case $n = 2$. In this case, $d = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. For every $0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1$, we define the 2×2 matrix

$$k_\alpha := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \sqrt{1-\alpha^2} \\ -\sqrt{1-\alpha^2} & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \in K.$$

A direct computation shows that $Tr(dk_\alpha dk_\alpha^* d) = \alpha^2(\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2) + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$. Let $a \in A$ with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leqslant Tr(a) \leqslant \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3$. Then we can find $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $Tr(a) = Tr(dk_\alpha dk_\alpha^* d)$. Since a and $dk_\alpha dk_\alpha^* d$ are positive 2×2 matrices, it follows that there exists $k \in K$ such that $a = k(dk_\alpha dk_\alpha^* d)k^*$. Hence $a \in H$. This shows that $H \cap A$ contains $\{a \in A | \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leqslant Tr(a) \leqslant \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3\}$. Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, we derive that $H \cap A$ is an open subgroup of A. Since A is connected we deduce that $H \cap A = A$, therefore $A \subset H$. Since $G = KAK$ and $K \subset H$, we conclude that $H = G$.

Before proceeding to the general case, let us derive an additional fact in the case $n = 2$. Note that we can find $a_1, ..., a_4 \in A$ such that $I = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$ and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leqslant Tr(a_i) \leqslant \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3$, for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 4$. The previous paragraph implies that we can find $k_0, k_1, ..., k_{12} \in K$ such that $I = k_0 dk_1 ... k_{11} dk_{12}$.

Now, assume that $n \geqslant 2$ is arbitrary. For $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n$, we denote by $G_{i,j}$ the subgroup of G consisting of all matrices $g = (g_{k,l}) \in G$ with the property that $g_{k,l} = \delta_{k,l}$, for all $1 \leqslant k, l \leqslant n$ with $\{k, l\} \not\subset \{i, j\}$. We clearly have that $G_{i,j} \cong SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. We claim that $G_{1,2} \subset H$.

Let $g = \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}}, \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}}) \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and view $g \in G_{1,2}$. By applying the above fact to g, we can find $k_0, k_1, ..., k_{12} \in G_{1,2} \cap K$ such that $I = k_0 g k_1 ... k_{11} g k_{12}$. Let $h = dg^{-1} = \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \lambda_3, ..., \lambda_n)$. Then $d = gh$ and h commutes with $G_{1,2}$. It is then immediate that

$$k_0 dk_1 ... k_{11} dk_{12} = (k_0 g k_1 ... k_{11} g k_{12}) h^{12} = h^{12}.$$

Since $d \in H$, we get that $h^{12} \in H$ and further that $g^{12} = d^{12} h^{-12} \in H$. Thus, $g^{12} \in G_{1,2} \cap H$. Since $g^{12} \notin K$ and $G_{1,2} \cap K \subset H$, by using the case $n = 2$, we get that $G_{1,2} \subset H$.

Let $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n$. Then there exists $k \in K$ such that $G_{i,j} = k G_{1,2} k^{-1}$ and hence $G_{i,j} \subset H$. Since the groups $G_{i,j}$ generate G, we conclude that $G = H$.

To see the moreover assertion, note that since $g \notin K$, the above gives that g and K generate G. Consequently, we can find $p \geqslant 1$ and $h_1, ..., h_p \in \{g, g^{-1}\}$ such that $A = h_1 K h_2 ... h_{p-1} K h_p$ is non-negligible. It follows that $A^{-1}A$ contains an open neighborhood V of the identity in G. Since G is connected we get that $G = \cup_{q \geqslant 1} V^q$. Further, since Y is compact, we can find $q \geqslant 1$ such that $Y \subset V^q$. Therefore, we have that $Y \subset (A^{-1}A)^q$, which proves the claim. ■

11. Proofs of Corollaries I, J and K

11.1. **Proof of Corollary I.** Assume that $\Sigma < SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Delta < SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are both either

(1) discrete subgroups, or
(2) connected real algebraic subgroups.

Suppose that the actions $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma$ and $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_n(\mathbb{R})/\Delta$ are SOE.

Denote by Z_m the center of $SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and by $PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ the quotient group $SL_m(\mathbb{R})/Z_m$. Following Example 1.5, the action $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma$ is SOE to $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma_0$, where $\Sigma_0 = (\Sigma Z_m)/Z_m$. Moreover, Example 1.8 gives that the action $SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and hence the action $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ is strongly ergodic. Similarly, we deduce that $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}]) \curvearrowright SL_n(\mathbb{R})/\Delta$ is SOE to $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_n(\mathbb{R})/\Delta_0$, where $\Delta_0 = (\Delta Z_n)/Z_n$, and that the action $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}]) \curvearrowright PSL_n(\mathbb{R})$ is strongly ergodic.

Now, in case (1), we have that $\Sigma_0 < PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Delta_0 < PSL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are discrete subgroups. In case (2), we have that $\Sigma Z_m < SL_m(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Delta Z_n < SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ are real algebraic subgroups. Moreover, since Σ and Δ are connected, we get that $\Sigma_0 \cong \Sigma/(\Sigma \cap Z_m)$ and $\Delta_0 \cong \Delta/(\Delta \cap Z_n)$ are connected.

Altogether, we can apply Theorem 8.1 in case (1) and Theorem 9.1 in case (2) to conclude that there exists an isomorphism $\delta : PSL_m(\mathbb{R}) \to PSL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\delta(PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}])) = PSL_n(\mathbb{Z}[T^{-1}])$. This implies that $m = n$ and there exists $g \in GL_m(\mathbb{R})$ such that either $\delta(x) = gxg^{-1}$, for all $x \in PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$, or $\delta(x) = g(x^t)^{-1}g^{-1}$, for all $x \in PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$. It now follows easily that $S = T$. ■

11.2. **Proof of Corollary J.** In this subsection, we establish the following more precise version of Corollary J.

Theorem 11.1. *Let $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$, for some $n \geqslant 2$. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a countable dense subgroup which contains the center of G such that the translation action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (G, m_G)$ is strongly ergodic.*

If $\theta : G \to G$ is a nonsingular isomorphism satisfying $\theta(\Gamma x) = \Gamma\theta(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$, then we can find a Borel map $\phi : G \to \Gamma$, $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in G$ such that either $\theta(x) = \phi(x)gxg^{-1}h$ or $\theta(x) = \phi(x)g(x^{-1})^t g^{-1}h$, for almost every $x \in G$. In particular, θ preserves m_G.

Proof. Let $\theta : G \to G$ be a nonsingular isomorphism with $\theta(\Gamma x) = \Gamma\theta(x)$, for almost every $x \in G$. Let Z denote the center of $G = SL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Put $G_0 = G/Z = PSL_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma/Z$. By Example 1.5, the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright G$ and $\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright G_0$ are orbit equivalent. Moreover, there exists an orbit equivalence $\tau : G \to G_0$ such that $\tau(x) \in \Gamma_0 xZ$, for almost every $x \in G$. It follows that $\tau^{-1}(xZ) \in \Gamma x$, for almost every $x \in G$.

Let $\theta_0 = \tau\theta\tau^{-1} : G_0 \to G_0$. Then θ_0 is a nonsingular isomorphism and $\theta_0(\Gamma_0 x) = \Gamma_0\theta_0(x)$, for almost every $x \in G_0$. Since G_0 has trivial center and admits a l.c.s.c. universal covering group, and the action $\Gamma_0 \curvearrowright G_0$ is strongly ergodic, by Theorem 4.1 we can find an automorphism $\delta : G_0 \to G_0$ satisfying $\delta(\Gamma_0) = \Gamma_0$, a Borel map $\phi : G_0 \to \Gamma_0$, and $h \in G_0$ such that $\theta_0(x) = \phi(x)\delta(x)h$, for almost every $x \in G_0$. Thus, there is $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that either $\delta(x) = gxg^{-1}$, for all $x \in G_0$, or $\delta(x) = g(x^t)^{-1}g^{-1}$, for all $x \in G_0$. In particular, δ lifts to an automorphism to G (defined by the

same formulas). Since $\delta(\Gamma_0)=\Gamma_0$, it follows that $\delta(\Gamma)=\Gamma$. Using the properties of τ listed in the previous paragraph, it is easy to check that θ has the desired form.

Moreover, since the conjugation action of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ on G preserves the Haar measure m_G, we deduce that θ is measure preserving. ■

11.3. **Proof of Corollary K.** In this subsection, we prove an OE superrigidity result for actions $PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}])\curvearrowright PSL_m(\mathbb{R})/\Sigma$, where $\Sigma<PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$ is an arbitrary discrete subgroup and $m\geqslant 3$, and then explain how Corollary K follows from this result.

Theorem 11.2. *Let $m\geqslant 3$ be an integer, $G'=PSL_m(\mathbb{R})$, and $\Sigma'<G'$ be a discrete subgroup. Let S be a nonempty set of primes and denote $\Gamma'=PSL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}])$.*

Then a free ergodic nonsingular action $\Lambda\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ of a countable group Λ is SOE to the left translation action $\Gamma'\curvearrowright G'/\Sigma'$ if and only we can find a subgroup $\Lambda_0<\Lambda$, a finite normal subgroup $N<\Lambda_0$, and a normal subgroup $M'<\Sigma'$ such that

- *$\Lambda\curvearrowright Y$ is induced from some nonsingular action $\Lambda_0\curvearrowright Y_0$, and*
- *$\Lambda_0/N\curvearrowright Y_0/N$ is conjugate to the left-right multiplication action $\Gamma'\times\Sigma'/M'\curvearrowright G'/M'$ given by $(g,\sigma M')\cdot xM'=gx\sigma^{-1}M'$, for all $g\in\Gamma',\sigma\in\Sigma'$ and $x\in G'$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma=SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}])$ and $G=SL_m(\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $\widetilde{G}$ the common universal cover of G and G', and by $\pi:\widetilde{G}\to G$, $\pi':\widetilde{G}\to G'$ the covering homomorphisms. Let $\widetilde{\Gamma}=\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)=\pi'^{-1}(\Gamma')$.

Claim. There is a subgroup $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1<\widetilde{\Gamma}$ such that $g\widetilde{\Gamma}_1g^{-1}\cap\widetilde{\Gamma}_1$ is dense in $\widetilde{G}$, for every $g\in\widetilde{\Gamma}$, and the action $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}$ has property (T).

Proof of the claim. Fix $p\in S$ and denote $\Gamma_1=SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$. Since $m\geqslant 3$, by Example 1.8, the translation action $\Gamma_1\curvearrowright G$ has property (T).

Moreover, if $g\in\Gamma$, then $g\Gamma_1g^{-1}\cap\Gamma_1$ is dense in G. To see this, note first that we can find an integer $N\geqslant 1$ such that $p\nmid N$ and $Ng,Ng^{-1}\in GL_m(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$. Consider the quotient homomorphism $\rho:\Gamma_1\to SL_m(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]/N^2\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}])$. Then $\Gamma_2:=\ker(\rho)$ satisfies $g^{-1}\Gamma_2g\subset\Gamma_1$, hence $\Gamma_2\subset g\Gamma_1g^{-1}\cap\Gamma_1$. Since G is connected, $\Gamma_1<G$ is dense, and Γ_2 is a finite index subgroup of Γ_1, we conclude that Γ_2 is dense in G. This proves our assertion.

Let $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1=\pi^{-1}(\Gamma_1)$. By Example 1.5 we have that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}$ is SOE to $\Gamma_1\curvearrowright G$ and therefore has property (T). Since $\widetilde{G}$ is connected and π is a finite-to-1 map, by using the above assertion, it follows that $g\widetilde{\Gamma}_1g^{-1}\cap\widetilde{\Gamma}_1$ is dense in $\widetilde{G}$, for every $g\in\widetilde{\Gamma}$. □

We are now ready to prove the *only if* assertion of Theorem 11.2. The *if* assertion follows easily (e.g. by using Example 1.5) and is left to the reader. Let $\Lambda\curvearrowright(Y,\nu)$ be a nonsingular action which is SOE to $\Gamma'\curvearrowright G'/\Sigma'$. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}=\pi'^{-1}(\Sigma)$ and note that we can identify $\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{\Sigma}$ with G'/Σ' via the map $x\widetilde{\Sigma}\mapsto\pi'(x)\Sigma'$. Then, under this identification, the actions $\widetilde{\Gamma}\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $\Gamma'\curvearrowright G'/\Sigma'$ have the same orbits. We therefore deduce that $\Lambda\curvearrowright Y$ is SOE to $\widetilde{\Gamma}\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{\Sigma}$.

Since $\widetilde{G}$ is simply connected, the claim allows us to apply Theorem 6.1. Thus, we can find a normal subgroup $\Delta<\widetilde{\Gamma}\times\widetilde{\Sigma}$, a subgroup $\Lambda_0<\Lambda$, and a Λ_0-invariant measurable subset $Y_0\subset Y$ such that

- Δ is discrete in $\widetilde{G}\times\widetilde{G}$,
- the left-right multiplication action $\Delta\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}$ admits a measurable fundamental domain,
- the left-right multiplication action $(\widetilde{\Gamma}\times\widetilde{\Sigma})/\Delta\curvearrowright\widetilde{G}/\Delta$ is conjugate to $\Lambda_0\curvearrowright Y_0$, and

- the action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is induced from $\Lambda_0 \curvearrowright Y_0$.

Next, we claim that $\Delta \subset Z(\widetilde{G}) \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$. To see this, let $\Delta_1 = \{x \in \widetilde{\Gamma} | (x,e) \in \Delta\}$. Then Δ_1 is discrete in $\widetilde{G}$ and normal in $\widetilde{\Gamma}$. Since $\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G}$ is dense and $\widetilde{G}$ is connected, it follows that $\Delta_1 \subset Z(\widetilde{G})$. Now, let $(x,y) \in \Delta$. Since Δ is normal in $\widetilde{\Gamma} \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$, for every $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ we have that $(gxg^{-1}, y) \in \Delta$, and hence $gxg^{-1}x^{-1} \in \Delta_1$. Since Δ_1 is finite, we derive that $gxg^{-1} = x$, for every $g \in \widetilde{\Gamma}$ that is sufficiently close to e. Using again the fact that $\widetilde{\Gamma} < \widetilde{G}$ is dense and $\widetilde{G}$ is connected, we get that $x \in Z(\widetilde{G})$. This shows that $\Delta \subset Z(\widetilde{G}) \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$, as claimed.

Since $Z(\widetilde{G})$ is finite and $\Delta < \widetilde{\Gamma} \times \widetilde{\Sigma}$ is normal, we can find a normal subgroup $\widetilde{M} < \widetilde{\Sigma}$ such that $\Delta \subset Z(\widetilde{G}) \times \widetilde{M}$ and the inclusion $\Delta \subset Z(\widetilde{G}) \times \widetilde{M}$ has finite index. We may clearly assume that $\widetilde{M}$ contains $Z(\widetilde{G})$, since the latter group is finite. Then $M' = \pi'(\widetilde{M})$ is a normal subgroup of Σ'. Moreover, since the kernel of $\pi' : \widetilde{G} \to G'$ is equal to $Z(\widetilde{G})$, we have that $\widetilde{\Gamma}/Z(\widetilde{G}) \cong \Gamma'$. Also, we have that $\widetilde{\Sigma}/\widetilde{M} \cong \Sigma'/M'$.

Let $\delta : (\widetilde{\Gamma} \times \widetilde{\Sigma})/\Delta \to \Lambda_0$ be the group isomorphism provided by the above conjugacy of actions. Then $N := \delta((Z(\widetilde{G}) \times \widetilde{M})/\Delta)$ is a finite normal subgroup of Λ_0 and the action $\Lambda_0/N \curvearrowright Y_0/N$ is conjugate to the left-right multiplication action of $[(\widetilde{\Gamma} \times \widetilde{\Sigma})/\Delta]/[(Z(\widetilde{G}) \times \widetilde{M})/\Delta] \cong \Gamma' \times (\Sigma'/M')$ on $(\widetilde{G}/\Delta)/[(Z(\widetilde{G}) \times \widetilde{M})/\Delta]$. Since the latter space can be identified with G'/M', we are done. ■

Proof of Corollary K. Let us briefly indicate how Theorem 11.2 implies Corollary K. By applying Theorem 11.2 in the case $\Sigma' = \{e\}$, the first part of Corollary K follows. For the second part of Corollary K, suppose (in the notation from Theorem 11.2) that $\Sigma' < \Gamma'$ is a lattice. Assume that a free ergodic nonsingular action $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ is SOE to $\Gamma' \curvearrowright G'/\Sigma'$. Then there exist a subgroup $\Lambda_0 < \Lambda$ and normal subgroups $N < \Lambda_0$, $M' < \Sigma'$ such that the conclusion of Theorem 11.2 holds true.

Since $\Sigma' < G'$ is a lattice, G' has trivial center and $\mathbb{R}\text{-rank}(G') = m - 1 \geqslant 2$, Margulis' normal subgroup theorem (see e.g. [Zi84, Theorem 8.1.2]) implies that either $M' = \{e\}$ or Σ'/M' is finite. If $M' = \{e\}$, then we get that $\Lambda_0/N \curvearrowright Y_0/N$ is conjugate to the left-right multiplication action $\Gamma' \times \Sigma' \curvearrowright G'$. If Σ'/M' is finite, let $\delta : \Gamma' \times \Sigma'/M' \to \Lambda_0/N$ be the group homomorphism that witnesses the conjugacy between $\Gamma' \times \Sigma'/M' \curvearrowright G'/M'$ and $\Lambda_0/N \curvearrowright Y_0/N$ given by Theorem 11.2. Let $N' < \Lambda_0$ be a finite normal subgroup which contains N and satisfies $\delta(\{e\} \times \Sigma'/M') = N'/N$. It is now easy to see that the actions $\Gamma' \curvearrowright G'/\Sigma'$ and $\Lambda_0/N' \curvearrowright Y_0/N'$ are conjugate. ■

References

[BdS14] Y. Benoist, N. de Saxcé: *A spectral gap theorem in simple Lie groups*, preprint arXiv:1405.1808.

[BG02] E. Breuillard, T. Gelander: *On dense free subgroups of Lie groups*, J. Algebra **261** (2003), 448-467.

[BG04] E. Breuillard, T. Gelander: *A topological Tits alternative*, Ann. of Math. **166** (2007), 427-474.

[BG06] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd: *On the spectral gap for finitely-generated subgroups of $SU(2)$*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 83-121.

[CFW81] A. Connes, J. Feldman, B. Weiss: *An amenable equivalence relations is generated by a single transformation*, Ergodic Th. Dynam. Sys. **1**(1981), 431-450.

[CG85] Y. Carrière, E. Ghys: *Relations d'équivalence moyennable sur les groupes de Lie*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 300, Série I, **19** (1985).

[CW81] A. Connes, B. Weiss: *Property T and asymptotically invariant sequences*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 209-210.

[FM77] J. Feldman, C.C. Moore, *Ergodic Equivalence Relations, Cohomology, and Von Neumann Algebras.* I, II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **234** (1977), 289-324, 325-359.

[Fu99] A. Furman: *Orbit equivalence rigidity*, Ann. of Math. **150** (1999), 1083-1108.

[Fu09] A. Furman: *A survey of Measured Group Theory*, Geometry, Rigidity, and Group Actions, 296-374, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 2011.

[Ga10] D. Gaboriau: *Orbit equivalence and measured group theory*, In Proceedings of the ICM (Hyderabad, India, 2010), Vol. III, Hindustan Book Agency, 2010, pp. 1501-1527.

[Ge03] S. Gefter: *Fundamental groups of some ergodic equivalence relations of type II_∞*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **4** (2003), 115-124.

[GMO08] A. Gorodnik, F. Maucourant, H. Oh: *Manin's and Peyre's conjectures on rational points and adelic mixing*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **41** (2008), no. 3, 383-435.

[Io08] A. Ioana: *Cocycle superrigidity for profinite actions of property (T) groups*, Duke Math. J. **157** (2011), 337-367.

[Io09] A. Ioana: *Relative property (T) for the subequivalence relations induced by the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 1589-1617.

[Io13] A. Ioana: *Orbit equivalence and Borel reducibility rigidity for profinite actions with spectral gap*, preprint arXiv:1309.3026.

[IS10] A. Ioana, Y. Shalom: *Rigidity for equivalence relations on homogeneous spaces*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **7** (2013), 403-417.

[Ke95] A. S. Kechris: *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. xviii+402 pp.

[Ki06] Y. Kida: *Measure equivalence rigidity of the mapping class group*, Ann. of Math. **171** (2010), 1851-1901.

[KM99] D. Kleinbock, G. Margulis: *Logarithm laws for flows on homogeneous spaces*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), 451-494.

[MZ55] D. Montgomery, L. Zippin: *Topological transformation groups*, Interscience Publishers, New York-London, 1955. xi+282 pp.

[OP07] N. Ozawa, S. Popa: *On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*, Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 713-749.

[Pi04] M. Pichot: *Sur la théorie spectrale des relations d'équivalence mesurées*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **6** (2007), no. 3, 453-500.

[Po86] S. Popa: *Correspondences*, INCREST Preprint, 56/1986, available at http://www.math.ucla.edu/ popa/popa-correspondences.pdf.

[Po01] S. Popa: *On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants*. Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), 809-899.

[Po05] S. Popa: *Cocycle and orbit equivalence superrigidity for malleable actions of w-rigid groups*, Invent. Math. **170** (2007), 243-295.

[Po06] S. Popa: *On the superrigidity of malleable actions with spectral gap*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), 981-1000.

[Po07] S. Popa: *Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras*, In Proceedings of the ICM (Madrid, 2006), Vol. I, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, 2007, 445-477.

[PV08] S. Popa, S. Vaes: *Cocycle and orbit superrigidity for lattices in $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ acting on homogeneous spaces*, Geometry, rigidity, and group actions, 419-451, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2011.

[Sc81] K. Schmidt: *Asymptotically invariant sequences and an action of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ on the 2-sphere*, Israel J. Math. **37** (1980), 193-208.

[Zi80] R. Zimmer: *Strong rigidity for ergodic actions of semisimple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **112** (1980), 511-529.

[Zi81] R. Zimmer: *On the cohomology of ergodic actions of semisimple Lie groups and discrete subgroups*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 5, 937-951.

[Zi84] R. Zimmer: *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, Monographs in Mathematics, 81. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984. x+209 pp.

[Zi87] R. Zimmer: *Amenable actions and dense subgroups of Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), 58-64.

[Wi02] J. Winkelmann: *Generic subgroups of Lie groups*, Topology **41** (2002), no. 1, 163-181.

Mathematics Department; University of California, San Diego, CA 90095-1555 (United States).

E-mail address: aioana@ucsd.edu